arXiv:1012.4242v1 [math.AG] 20 Dec 2010

The double cover of cubic surfaces branched along their Hessian

Atsushi Ikeda

Abstract

We prove the relation between the Hodge structure of the double cover of a nonsingular cubic surface branched along its Hessian and the Hodge structure of the triple cover of $\mathbf{P}^3$ branched along the cubic surface. And we introduce a method to study the infinitesimal variations of Hodge structure of the double cover of the cubic surface. Using these results, we compute the Néron-Severi lattices for the double cover of a generic cubic surface and the Fermat cubic surface.

1 Introduction

Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be a nonsingular cubic surface over the complex numbers $\mathbf{C}$. It is well-known that X contains 27 lines in $\mathbf{P}^3$. A point $p \in X$ is called an Eckardt point if there are three lines through p on X. The classification of nonsingular cubic surfaces by the configuration of their Eckardt points is given in the book [11]. Although the configuration of the Eckardt points varies by a deformation of X, the Néron-Severi lattice for X is constant. In order to detect the difference of the configuration of the Eckardt points, we consider the Néron-Severi lattice for the double cover of X branched along its Hessian. Let $B \subset X$ be the zeros of the Hessian of the defining equation of X. Then B has at most node as its singularities, and a point $p \in X$ is a node of B if and only if p is an Eckardt point on X. Therefore an Eckardt point on X corresponds to an ordinary double point on the finite double cover Y' over X branched along B. Let $\phi : Y \to X$ be the composition of the minimal resolution of Y' and the finite double cover. Then an Eckardt point e on X corresponds to the (-2)-curve $\phi^{-1}(e)$ on Y, and a line L on X splits by the pull-back ϕ^* into two (-3)-curves L^+ and L^- on Y, where

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C22, 14C30, 14J29.

Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 20740014, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

we can chose the component L^+ of ϕ^*L so that the union of 27 rational curves $\bigcup_L L^+$ is a disjoint union. We remark that Y is a minimal surface of general type with the geometric genus 4, and the double cover ϕ is the canonical morphism of Y. In this paper, we regard an Eckardt point e on X as the class $[\phi^{-1}(e)]$ in the Néron-Severi lattice of Y, and we compute the Hodge structure on $H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})$.

There is another way to study cubic surfaces by using the Hodge structure of some associated variety. Let $\rho : V \to \mathbf{P}^3$ be the triple Galois cover branched along a cubic surface X. The Hodge structure on $H^3(V, \mathbf{Z})$ with the Galois action was considered by Allcock, Carlson and Toledo [1] to understand the moduli space of cubic surfaces as a ball quotient. In this paper, we investigate the relation between the Hodge structures $H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^3(V, \mathbf{Z})$, and we prove that there is an isomorphism

$$\Big(\bigwedge^2 H^3(V, \mathbf{Q})(1)\Big)^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \simeq \frac{H^2(Y, \mathbf{Q})}{\sum_L \mathbf{Q}L^+} \tag{1.1}$$

of Hodge structures. More precise statement in $\mathbf{Z}$-coefficients is given in Theorem 5.8. We remark that V is a nonsingular cubic 3-fold in $\mathbf{P}^4$, and the Hodge structures of cubic 3-folds were studied by Clemens-Griffiths [3] and Tjurin [12]. Let S be the set of lines on a nonsingular cubic 3-fold $V \subset \mathbf{P}^4$. It is a nonsingular projective surface, which is called the Fano surface of lines on V. Then the isomorphisms of Hodge structures $H^3(V, \mathbf{Z})(1) \simeq H^1(S, \mathbf{Z})$ and $\bigwedge^2 H^1(S, \mathbf{Q}) \simeq H^2(S, \mathbf{Q})$ are proved there. In order to relate the Hodge structure $H^2(Y, \mathbf{Q})$ with $H^2(S, \mathbf{Q})$, we regard the surface Y as a kind of variety of lines. Let $\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)$ be the Grassmannian variety of all lines in $\mathbf{P}^3$. We show that Y is isomorphic to the variety

$$Y_3 = \{(p, L) \in \mathbf{P}^3 \times \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3) \mid L \text{ intersects } X \text{ at } p \text{ with the multiplicity} \geq 3\},$$

and the double cover $\phi : Y \to X$ corresponds to the first projection $Y_3 \to X;\ (p, L) \mapsto p$. Then the second projection $Y_3 \to \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3);\ (p, L) \mapsto L$ is a birational morphism to its image $Z_3 \subset \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)$, and the Fano surface S of the triple cover V of $\mathbf{P}^3$ is a triple cover of Z_3 by $S \to Z_3;\ L \mapsto \rho(L)$. By the isomorphism $H^2(S, \mathbf{Q})^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \simeq H^2(Z_3, \mathbf{Q}) \simeq \frac{H^2(Y,\mathbf{Q})}{\sum_L \mathbf{Q}L^+}$, we get the isomorphism (1.1).

By using this isomorphism (Theorem 5.8), we compute the Néron-Severi lattice $\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)$ of Y. For a generic cubic surface X, we prove the theorem of Noether-Lefschetz type (Theorem 6.1), which says that $\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)$ is generated by (-3)-curves on Y corresponding to lines on X for a generic cubic surface. We use the theory of the infinitesimal variations of Hodge structures [2] to compute that the rank of $\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)$ is 28 for a generic cubic surface X. We introduce a method to compute the Hodge cohomology $H^q(Y, \Omega^p_Y)$ for Y, which is a generalization of the classical method by Griffiths [6]. And it enables us to compute the infinitesimal variations of Hodge structure of Y. In order to prove that the (-3)-curves on Y generate the Néron-Severi group over $\mathbf{Z}$, we need the computation of the determinant of the lattice, for which the identification in Theorem 5.8

is used. For a special cubic surface, the rank of $\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)$ is grater than 28. If X is the Fermat cubic surface, then $\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)$ is of rank $h^1(Y,\Omega^1_Y)=44$, and the $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space $\mathbf{Q}\otimes\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)$ is generated by (-2)-curves corresponding to their Eckardt points and (-3)-curves corresponding to lines on X. More precisely, the generator of $\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)$ over $\mathbf{Z}$ is given in Theorem 6.6. For the proof of Theorem 6.6, we use the computation of the Néron-Severi lattice of the Fano surface S for the Fermat cubic 3-fold by Roulleau [10].

The contents of this paper is the followings. In Section 2, we introduce the variety Y_3 for a nonsingular cubic surface X, and compute the numerical invariants for the surface Y_3. In Section 3, we prove that the first projection $Y_3\to X$ is the double cover branched along the Hessian B. And we compute the intersection number on $Y=Y_3$ of the curve $\phi^{-1}(e)$ corresponding to an Eckardt point e on X and the curves $L^{\pm}$ corresponding to a line L on X. Then we give some relations of these curves in the Néron-Severi group of Y. In Section 4, we review some results on nonsingular cubic 3-folds and their Fano surfaces in [3] and [12]. In Section 5, we prove the relation (1.1) between the Hodge structure of Y and the Hodge structure of the triple cover $V\to\mathbf{P}^3$. And we determine the torsion part $\bigl(\frac{H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})}{\sum_L \mathbf{Z}L^+}\bigr)_{\mathrm{tor}}$ and the lattice structure on the free part $\bigl(\frac{H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})}{\sum_L \mathbf{Z}L^+}\bigr)_{\mathrm{free}}$. In Section 6, we compute the Néron-Severi lattice of Y for a generic cubic surface and the Fermat cubic surface. In Section 7, we give a method to describe the Hodge cohomology of Y, and we compute the infinitesimal variations of Hodge structure for Y.

2 Varieties of lines

We denote by $\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^n)$ the Grassmannian variety of all lines in the projective space $\mathbf{P}^n$ over the complex numbers $\mathbf{C}$, and by $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^n)}(1)$ the line bundle which gives the Plücker embedding of $\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^n)$. We denote by $\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^n)$ be the flag variety of all pairs (p,L) of a point $p\in\mathbf{P}^n$ and a line $L\subset\mathbf{P}^n$ which contains the point p;

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^n)=\{(p,L)\in\mathbf{P}^n\times\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^n)\mid p\in L\}.$$

We remark that their canonical bundles are given by $K_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^n)}\simeq\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^n)}(-n-1)$ and $K_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^n)}\simeq\mathit{\Phi}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(-2)\otimes\mathit{\Psi}^*\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^n)}(-n)$, where $\mathit{\Phi}:\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^n)\to\mathbf{P}^n$ is the first projection and $\mathit{\Psi}:\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^n)\to\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^n)$ is the second projection. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^n)}=\{H^0(L,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1)|_L)\}_{L\in\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^n)}$ be the tautological bundle on $\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^n)$, and let $\mathcal{S}$ be the subbundle of $\mathit{\Psi}^*\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^n)}$ whose fiber at $(p,L)\in\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^n)$ is

$$\mathcal{S}(p,L)=\mathrm{Ker}\,(H^0(L,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1)|_L)\longrightarrow H^0(p,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1)|_p)).$$

Then the Chow ring of $\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^n)$ is

$$\mathrm{CH}(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^n))\simeq\mathbf{Z}[s,t]\big/\bigl(t^{n+1},\ \sum_{i=0}^{n}s^{n-i}t^i\bigr),$$

where $s = c_1(\mathcal{S})$ and $t = c_1(\varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1))$ (cf. [5, (14.6)]).

Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be a nonsingular cubic surface. We define subvarieties of $\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)$ by

$$Y_m = \{(p, L) \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3) \mid L \text{ intersects } X \text{ at } p \text{ with the multiplicity } \geq m\}$$

for $1 \leq m \leq 3$ and

$$Y_\infty = \{(p, L) \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3) \mid L \text{ is contained in } X\}.$$

By the first projection $\varPhi$, Y_1 is a $\mathbf{P}^2$-bundle over X, and Y_2 is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over X. By [8, Theorem 3.5], Y_3 is a nonsingular projective irreducible surface, and the first projection $\varPhi|_{Y_3}$ is a generically finite morphism of degree 2 over X. Since X contains 27 lines in $\mathbf{P}^3$, Y_∞ is a disjoint union of 27 rational curves.

Let $F \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(3))$ be a section which define the cubic surface X. The restriction $F|_L \in H^0(L, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(3)|_L)$ is contained in the image of the natural injective homomorphism

$$\mathcal{S}(p, L)^{\otimes m} \otimes H^0(L, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(3-m)|_L) \longrightarrow H^0(L, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(3)|_L)$$

if and only if the pair (p, L) is contained in Y_m. Hence, for $1 \leq m \leq 3$, the subvariety Y_m is defined as the zeros of a regular section of the vector bundle

$$\frac{\varPsi^* \operatorname{Sym}^3 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}}{\mathcal{S}^{\otimes m} \otimes \varPsi^* \operatorname{Sym}^{3-m} \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}} \simeq \varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(4-m) \otimes \varPsi^* \operatorname{Sym}^{m-1} \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}$$

on $\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^n)$, where the isomorphism is given in [9, §2].

Proposition 2.1. *Y_3 is a minimal surface of general type with the geometric genus $p_g(Y_3) = 4$, the irregularity $q(Y_3) = 0$ and the square of the canonical divisor $K_{Y_3}^2 = 6$, and the first projection $\varPhi|_{Y_3}$ is the canonical map of the surface Y_3.*

Proof. Since

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}(Y_1) \simeq \varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(3), \\ \mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(Y_2) \simeq (\varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(2) \otimes \mathcal{S})|_{Y_1} \simeq (\varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1) \otimes \varPsi^* \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}(1))|_{Y_1}, \\ \mathcal{O}_{Y_2}(Y_3) \simeq (\varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1) \otimes \mathcal{S}^{\otimes 2})|_{Y_2} \simeq (\varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-1)) \otimes \varPsi^* \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}(2))|_{Y_2} \end{cases}$$

and $K_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)} = \varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-2) \otimes \varPsi^* \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}(-3)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} K_{Y_1} \simeq (\varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1) \otimes \varPsi^* \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}(-3))|_{Y_1}, \\ K_{Y_2} \simeq (\varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(2) \otimes \varPsi^* \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}(-2))|_{Y_2}, \\ K_{Y_3} \simeq (\varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1))|_{Y_3}. \end{cases}$$

Since $H^i(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3), \varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-3)) = 0$ and $H^i(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3), \varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-2)) = 0$ for any i, the restriction induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{cases} H^i(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3), \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}) \simeq H^i(Y_1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_1}), \\ H^i(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3), \varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1)) \simeq H^i(Y_1, (\varPhi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1))|_{Y_1}) \end{cases}$$

for any i. Since $H^i(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3), \Phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(j) \otimes \Psi^*\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}(-1)) = 0$ for any i and j, we have $H^i(Y_1, (\Phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(j) \otimes \Psi^*\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}(-1))|_{Y_1}) = 0$ for any i and j, hence the restriction induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{cases} H^i(Y_1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_1}) \simeq H^i(Y_2, \mathcal{O}_{Y_2}), \\ H^i(Y_1, (\Phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1))|_{Y_1}) \simeq H^i(Y_2, (\Phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1))|_{Y_2}) \end{cases}$$

for any i, and the dimension of these cohomology groups are

$$h^i(Y_2, \mathcal{O}_{Y_2}) = h^i(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3), \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$h^i(Y_2, (\Phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1))|_{Y_2}) = h^i(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3), \Phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1)) = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

By the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{Y_2} \longrightarrow (\Phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1))|_{Y_2} \longrightarrow K_{Y_3} \longrightarrow 0$$

and the duality

$$H^i(Y_2, K_{Y_2}) \simeq H^{3-i}(Y_2, \mathcal{O}_{Y_2})^\vee,$$

we have $p_g(Y_3) = 4$ and $q(Y_3) = 0$, and $\Phi|_{Y_3}$ is the canonical map. Since $K_{Y_3} \simeq (\Phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1))|_{Y_3}$ is nef and the image of the canonical map is the surface X, the surface Y_3 is a minimal surface of general type.

Since Y_3 is defined as the zeros of a regular section of the vector bundle

$$\frac{\Psi^* \operatorname{Sym}^3 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}}{\mathcal{S}^{\otimes 3}} \simeq \Phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1) \otimes \Psi^* \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)},$$

its class in the Chow ring of $\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)$ is

$$[Y_3] = c_3(\Phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1) \otimes \Psi^* \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}) = 6s^2t + 15st^2 + 6t^3 \in \operatorname{CH}^3(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)),$$

hence

$$K_{Y_3}^2 = \deg\left(c_1(\Phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1))^2 \cdot [Y_3]\right) = 6.$$

$\square$

Remark 2.2. Proposition 2.1 implies that the Hodge number $h^1(Y_3, \Omega^1_{Y_3}) = 44$. Minimal surfaces with such numerical invariants are classified by Horikawa, and Y_3 is of type Ib in [7]. Since Y_3 is simply connected by [7, Theorem 12.1], we have $H_1(Y_3, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$, hence $H^i(Y_3, \mathbf{Z})$ has no torsion element for any i.

Since the cubic surface X is recovered as the image of the canonical map of Y_3, we have the following Torelli type theorem.

Corollary 2.3. *The isomorphism class of the cubic surface X is uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of Y_3.*

Proposition 2.4. *Each component of Y_∞ is a (-3)-curve on Y_3.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}_{Y_3}(Y_\infty) \simeq \mathcal{S}^{\otimes 3}|_{Y_3}$, the self intersection number of Y_∞ on Y_3 is

$$(Y_\infty . Y_\infty) = \deg\left(c_1(\mathcal{S}^{\otimes 3})^2 \cdot [Y_3]\right) = -81.$$

The self intersection number of a component of Y_∞ is less than -1 because K_{Y_3} is nef, and the component is not a (-2)-curve because its image by the canonical map is a line in $\mathbf{P}^3$. Since Y_∞ is a disjoint union of 27 rational curves, each component of Y_∞ is (-3)-curve on Y_∞. □

Remark 2.5. The second projection

$$\Psi|_{Y_3} : Y_3 \longrightarrow \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3);\ (p, L) \longmapsto L,$$

is birational to its image $Z_3 = \Psi(Y_3)$, which induces an isomorphism $Y_3 \setminus Y_\infty \simeq Z_3 \setminus Z_\infty$, where $Z_\infty = \{L \in \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3) \mid L \subset X\}$ is equal to the singular locus of Z_3.

3 The double cover branched along Hessian

For simplicity, we denote the first projection $\Phi|_{Y_3} : Y_3 \to X$ by $\phi : Y \to X$. Let R be the ramification divisor of $\phi : Y \to X$. Since R is the zeros of the determinant of the differential $d\phi : T_Y \to \phi^* T_X$, its class in $\mathrm{CH}^1(Y)$ is

$$[R] = c_1(K_Y \otimes \phi^* K_X{}^\vee) = c_1((\Phi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(2))|_Y).$$

We denote by $B = \phi_* R$ the branch divisor of ϕ. Let $F(x_0, \dots, x_3) \in \mathbf{C}[x_0, \dots, x_3]$ be a cubic polynomial which defines the nonsingular cubic surface X.

Proposition 3.1. *$B \subset X$ is the zeros of the Hessian*

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)_{0 \le i,j \le 3} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(4)|_X).$$

Proof. For $p = [a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : a_3] \in \mathbf{P}^3$, if $a_0 \neq 0$, then there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{P}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi^{-1}(p) \subset \Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3);\ q = [b_1 : b_2 : b_3] \longmapsto (p, L_{(p,q)}),$$

where $L_{(p,q)}$ denotes the line through the points p and $[0:b_1:b_2:b_3]$ in $\mathbf{P}^3$;

$$L_{(p,q)} = \{[a_0t_0 : a_1t_0 + b_1t_1 : \cdots : a_3t_0 + b_3t_1] \in \mathbf{P}^3 \mid [t_0 : t_1] \in \mathbf{P}^1\}.$$

For $0 \leq i \leq 3$, we set a polynomial $F_i(x,z)$ on variables $(x_0, \ldots, x_3, z_1, \ldots, z_3)$ inductively by

$$F_0(x,z) = F(x_1, \ldots, x_3)$$

and

$$F_i(x,z) = \frac{1}{i}\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial F_{i-1}}{\partial x_j}(x,z)z_j. \tag{3.1}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &F(a_0t_0, a_1t_0 + b_1t_1, a_2t_0 + b_2t_1, a_3t_0 + b_3t_1) \\ &\qquad = F_0(a,b)t_0^3 + F_1(a,b)t_0^2t_1 + F_2(a,b)t_0t_1^2 + F_3(a,b)t_1^3, \end{aligned}$$

if $p \in X$, then

$$\phi^{-1}(p) \simeq \{q = [b_1 : b_2 : b_3] \in \mathbf{P}^2 \mid F_1(a,b) = 0,\ F_2(a,b) = 0\}.$$

$p \in X$ is contained in B if and only if there exists $[b_1 : b_2 : b_3] \in \mathbf{P}^2$ such that $F_1(a,b) = F_2(a,b) = 0$ and the rank of the matrix

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z_1}(a,b) & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z_2}(a,b) & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z_3}(a,b) \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z_1}(a,b) & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z_2}(a,b) & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z_3}(a,b) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\qquad = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3}(a) \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_j \partial x_1}(a)b_j & \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_j \partial x_2}(a)b_j & \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_j \partial x_3}(a)b_j \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is less than 2. Since $(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(a), \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2}(a), \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3}(a)) \neq (0,0,0)$, the condition on the rank of the matrix is equivalent to the existence of $b_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$b_0 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3}(a) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_1}^2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3}(a) \\ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_2}^2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3}(a) \\ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_3 \partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_3 \partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_3}^2}(a) \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Then $F_1(a,b) = 0$ implies $F_2(a,b) = 0$, because

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(a,b) &= \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_1}^2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3}(a) \\ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_2}^2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3}(a) \\ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_3 \partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_3 \partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_3}^2}(a) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -\frac{b_0}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3}(a) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{b_0}{2}F_1(a,b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $p \in X$ is contained in B if and only if there exists $[b_0 : b_1 : b_2 : b_3] \in \mathbf{P}^3$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3}(a) \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_1}^2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3}(a) \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_2}^2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3}(a) \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_3 \partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_3 \partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_3}^2}(a) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and it is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3}(a) \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_1}^2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3}(a) \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_2}^2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3}(a) \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_3 \partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_3 \partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_3}^2}(a) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{a_0^2}{4} \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_0}^2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_0 \partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_0 \partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_0 \partial x_3}(a) \\ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_0}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_1}^2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3}(a) \\ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_2 \partial x_0}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_2}^2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3}(a) \\ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_3 \partial x_0}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_3 \partial x_1}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_3 \partial x_2}(a) & \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial {x_3}^2}(a) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence B is defined by the Hessian on $X \setminus \{x_0 \neq 0\}$. In the same way, we can show that B is defined by the Hessian on $X \setminus \{x_i \neq 0\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. □

Let E be the sum of all components of R which contract to points by ϕ, and let D be the divisor such that $R = D + E$. For a line L on X, we denote by L^+ the corresponding component of Y_∞;

$$L^+ = \{(p, L') \in \Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3) \mid L' = L\}.$$

Let L^- be the other component of $\phi^*(L)$ dominating L by ϕ, and let Y_∞^- be the sum of L^- for all lines on X. A point p on the cubic surface X is called an Eckardt point if there are three lines through p on X.

Theorem 3.2. *The divisor D is a disjoint union of nonsingular curves, E is a disjoint union of (-2)-curves on Y, and Y_∞^- is a disjoint union of (-3)-curves on Y. The divisors $R + Y_\infty$, $R + Y_\infty^-$ and $E + Y_\infty + Y_\infty^-$ are reduced simple normal crossing divisors. The branch divisor B has at most nodes as its singularities, and the singular locus of B is equal to the set of Eckardt points of X. A line L on X intersects B at two points with each multiplicity* 2, *and*

$$\phi^* L = L^+ + L^- + \sum_{e \in L \cap \operatorname{Sing}(B)} \phi^{-1}(e).$$

First, we normalize for $p \in X$ the equation of X by a transformation of the homogeneous coordinate in order to introduce a local coordinate of X around p and to compute the local equation of these divisors.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $F(x) = \sum c_{ijk} x_0^{3-i-j-k} x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k$ be an equation of a nonsingular cubic surface X, and let p be a point on X.*

1. *If $\phi^{-1}(p)$ is a set of distinct two points, then $F(x)$ is normalized by a transformation of the homogeneous coordinate to satisfy $p = [1 : 0 : 0 : 0]$, $c_{000} = c_{100} = c_{010} = c_{200} = c_{020} = 0$ and $c_{001} = c_{110} = 1$.*

2. *If $\phi^{-1}(p)$ is a point, then $F(x)$ is normalized by a transformation of the homogeneous coordinate to satisfy $p = [1 : 0 : 0 : 0]$, $c_{000} = c_{100} = c_{010} = c_{200} = c_{110} = 0$ and $c_{001} = c_{020} = c_{210} = 1$.*

3. *If $\phi^{-1}(p) \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$, then $F(x)$ is normalized by a transformation of the homogeneous coordinate to satisfy $p = [1 : 0 : 0 : 0]$, $c_{000} = c_{100} = c_{010} = c_{200} = c_{110} = c_{020} = c_{210} = c_{120} = 0$ and $c_{001} = 3c_{300} = 3c_{030} = 1$.*

Proof. First, we can chose a homogeneous coordinate $[x_0 : \cdots : x_3]$ as $p = [1 : 0 : 0 : 0]$. Then $p \in X$ implies that $c_{000} = 0$. Since X is nonsingular at p, $(c_{100}, c_{010}, c_{001}) \neq (0, 0, 0)$. We may assume that $c_{001} \neq 0$. By the the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ c_{100} & c_{010} & c_{001} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

we may assume that $(c_{100}, c_{010}, c_{001}) = (0, 0, 1)$.

1. We consider the case where $\phi^{-1}(p)$ is a set of distinct two points. Then the quadratic form $c_{200}x_1^2 + c_{110}x_1x_2 + c_{020}x_2^2$ is factorized into independent linear forms;
$$c_{200}x_1^2 + c_{110}x_1x_2 + c_{020}x_2^2 = (\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2)(\beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2).$$
   By the transformation
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix},$$
   $F(x)$ is normalized to satisfy $(c_{200}, c_{110}, c_{020}) = (0, 1, 0)$.

2. We consider the case where $\phi^{-1}(p)$ is a point. Then the quadratic form $c_{200}x_1^2 + c_{110}x_1x_2 + c_{020}x_2^2$ is the square of a nonzero linear form;
$$c_{200}x_1^2 + c_{110}x_1x_2 + c_{020}x_2^2 = (\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2)^2,$$

and we may assume that $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. By the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

we may assume that $(c_{200}, c_{110}, c_{020}) = (0, 0, 1)$. If $c_{210} \neq 0$, then by the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{c_{210}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$F(x)$ is normalized to satisfy $c_{210} = 1$. If $c_{210} = 0$ and $c_{300} \neq 0$, then by the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{3c_{300}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$F(x)$ is normalized to satisfy $c_{210} = 1$. If $(c_{300}, c_{210}) = (0, 0)$, then X is singular at $[a : 1 : 0 : 0]$, where a is a root of the quadratic equation

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3}(s, 1, 0, 0) = s^2 + c_{101}s + c_{201} = 0.$$

3. We consider the case where $\phi^{-1}(p) \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$. Then we have $(c_{200}, c_{110}, c_{020}) = (0, 0, 0)$, and the cubic form $c_{300}x_1^3 + c_{210}x_1^2x_2 + c_{120}x_1x_2^2 + c_{030}x_2^3$ is factorized into nonzero linear forms;

   $$c_{300}x_1^3 + c_{210}x_1^2x_2 + c_{120}x_1x_2^2 + c_{030}x_2^3 = (\alpha_1x_1 + \alpha_2x_2)(\beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2)(\gamma_1x_1 + \gamma_2x_2).$$

   We have $\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \neq 0$, $\beta_1\gamma_2 - \beta_2\gamma_1 \neq 0$, and $\gamma_1\alpha_2 - \gamma_2\alpha_1 \neq 0$, because for example if $\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 = 0$, then X is singular at $[a : -\alpha_2 : \alpha_1 : 0]$, where a is a root of the quadratic equation

   $$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3}(s, -\alpha_2, \alpha_1, 0) = s^2 + (c_{011}\alpha_1 - c_{101}\alpha_2)s + (c_{021}\alpha_1^2 - c_{111}\alpha_1\alpha_2 + c_{201}\alpha_2^2) = 0.$$

   Let $\omega \in \mathbf{C}$ be a primitive 3-rd root of unity. By the transformation

   $$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_1+\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_2\omega+\alpha_2\beta_1\gamma_1\omega^2}{\sqrt[3]{d}} & \frac{-\alpha_2\beta_1\gamma_2-\alpha_2\beta_2\gamma_1\omega-\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2\omega^2}{\sqrt[3]{d}} \\ \frac{-\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_1-\alpha_2\beta_1\gamma_1\omega-\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_2\omega^2}{\sqrt[3]{d}} & \frac{\alpha_2\beta_1\gamma_2+\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2\omega+\alpha_2\beta_2\gamma_1\omega^2}{\sqrt[3]{d}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

   where

   $$\begin{aligned} d &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_1 + \alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_2\omega + \alpha_2\beta_1\gamma_1\omega^2 & -\alpha_2\beta_1\gamma_2 - \alpha_2\beta_2\gamma_1\omega - \alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2\omega^2 \\ -\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_1 - \alpha_2\beta_1\gamma_1\omega - \alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_2\omega^2 & \alpha_2\beta_1\gamma_2 + \alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2\omega + \alpha_2\beta_2\gamma_1\omega^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\omega - \omega^2)(\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1)(\beta_1\gamma_2 - \beta_2\gamma_1)(\gamma_1\alpha_2 - \gamma_2\alpha_1) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

   $F(x)$ is normalized to satisfy $(c_{300}, c_{210}, c_{120}, c_{020}) = (\frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{3})$.

□

Proof of Theorem 3.2. For $p \in X$, by Lemma 3.3, we may assume that $p = [1 : 0 : 0 : 0]$, $c_{000} = c_{100} = c_{010} = 0$ and $c_{001} = 1$. Then

$$X \setminus \{x_0 \neq 0\} \simeq \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in \mathbf{C}^3 \mid F(1, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = 0\},$$

and (ξ_1, ξ_2) gives a local coordinate of X at p because $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_3}(p) = c_{001} \neq 0$. For $[s_1 : s_2] \in \mathbf{P}^1$, we set a line on $\mathbf{P}^3$ by

$$L_{[s_1:s_2]} = \{[x_0 : \cdots : x_3] \in \mathbf{P}^3 \mid s_1 x_2 = s_2 x_1,\ x_3 = 0\},$$

which intersects X at p with multiplicity ≥ 2. For $0 \leq i \leq 3$, we set a polynomial by

$$f_i(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) = F_i(1, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, 1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3),$$

where $F_i(x, z)$ is the polynomial defined in (3.1). Then Y is locally defined by these polynomials on a neighborhood of $(p, L_{[1:0]}) \in Y$;

$$Y \simeq \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \zeta_2, \zeta_3) \in \mathbf{C}^5 \mid f_0(\xi, \zeta) = f_1(\xi, \zeta) = f_2(\xi, \zeta) = 0\}.$$

In order to give a local coordinate of Y, we divide the case into three types.

1. The case where $\phi^{-1}(p)$ is a set of distinct two points. By Lemma 3.3, we may assume that $c_{000} = c_{100} = c_{010} = c_{200} = c_{020} = 0$ and $c_{001} = c_{110} = 1$. Then we have $\phi^{-1}(p) = \{(p, L_{[1:0]}), (p, L_{[0:1]})\}$. Since

   $$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \xi_3}(0,0,0,0,0) & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \zeta_2}(0,0,0,0,0) & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \zeta_3}(0,0,0,0,0) \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \xi_3}(0,0,0,0,0) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \zeta_2}(0,0,0,0,0) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \zeta_3}(0,0,0,0,0) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \xi_3}(0,0,0,0,0) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \zeta_2}(0,0,0,0,0) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \zeta_3}(0,0,0,0,0) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c_{101} & 0 & 1 \\ c_{201} & 1 & c_{101} \end{vmatrix} = -1 \neq 0,$$

   (ξ_1, ξ_2) gives a local coordinate of Y at $(p, L_{[1:0]})$ and ϕ is a local isomorphism in a neighborhood of $(p, L_{[1:0]})$. When $L_{[1:0]}$ is contained in X, $L^+_{[1:0]} \subset Y$ is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \mid \xi_2 = 0\}$, and when $L_{[0:1]}$ is contained in X, $L^-_{[0:1]} \subset Y$ is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \mid \xi_1 = 0\}$. Hence, if $(p, L_{[1:0]}) \in L^+_{[1:0]} \cap L^-_{[0:1]}$, then $L^+_{[1:0]}$ intersects $L^-_{[0:1]}$ transversally at $(p, L_{[1:0]}) \in Y$. In the same way, we can see the picture of a neighborhood of $(p, L_{[0:1]})$.

2. The case where $\phi^{-1}(p)$ is a point. By Lemma 3.3, we may assume that $c_{000} = c_{100} = c_{010} = c_{200} = c_{110} = 0$ and $c_{001} = c_{020} = c_{210} = 1$. Then $\phi^{-1}(p) = \{(p, L_{[1:0]})\}$. Since

   $$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \xi_2}(0,0,0,0,0) & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \xi_3}(0,0,0,0,0) & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \zeta_3}(0,0,0,0,0) \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \xi_2}(0,0,0,0,0) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \xi_3}(0,0,0,0,0) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \zeta_3}(0,0,0,0,0) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \xi_2}(0,0,0,0,0) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \xi_3}(0,0,0,0,0) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \zeta_3}(0,0,0,0,0) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{101} & 1 \\ 1 & c_{201} & c_{101} \end{vmatrix} = 1 \neq 0,$$

there are holomorphic functions $\varphi_2(\xi_1, \zeta_2)$, $\varphi_3(\xi_1, \zeta_2)$ and $\mu_3(\xi_1, \zeta_2)$ on a neighborhood of $(\xi_1, \zeta_2) = (0, 0)$ such that

$$\varphi_2(0,0) = 0,\ \varphi_3(0,0) = 0,\ \mu_3(0,0) = 0$$

and

$$f_i(\xi_1, \varphi_2(\xi_1, \zeta_2), \varphi_3(\xi_1, \zeta_2), \zeta_2, \mu_3(\xi_1, \zeta_2)) = 0$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 2$. We remark that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_2(\xi_1, \zeta_2) &\equiv -3c_{300}\xi_1 + (-9c_{300}^2 c_{101}^2 + 9c_{300}^2 c_{120} c_{101} + 9c_{300}^2 c_{201} - 3c_{300} c_{101})\xi_1^2 \\
&\qquad + (-6c_{300}c_{101} + 6c_{300}c_{120} - 2)\xi_1\zeta_2 - \zeta_2^2 \mod (\xi_1^3, \xi_1^2\zeta_2, \xi_1\zeta_2^2, \zeta_2^3), \\
\varphi_3(\xi_1, \zeta_2) &\equiv -9c_{300}^2\xi_1^2 \mod (\xi_1^3, \xi_1^2\zeta_2, \xi_1\zeta_2^2, \zeta_2^3), \\
\mu_3(\xi_1, \zeta_2) &\equiv (9c_{300}^2 c_{101} - 9c_{300}^2 c_{120} + 3c_{300})\xi_1^2 + 6c_{300}\xi_1\zeta_2 \mod (\xi_1^3, \xi_1^2\zeta_2, \xi_1\zeta_2^2, \zeta_2^3).
\end{aligned}$$

Then (ξ_1, ζ_2) is a local coordinate of Y at $(p, L_{[1:0]})$, and $R = D$ is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_1, \zeta_2) \mid \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial \zeta_2}(\xi_1, \zeta_2) = 0\}$, and it is nonsingular at $(p, L_{[1:0]})$ because $\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_2}{\partial {\zeta_2}^2}(0,0) = -2 \neq 0$. There is a holomorphic function $\sigma(\xi_1)$ on a neighborhood of $\xi_1 = 0$ such that $\sigma(0) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial \zeta_2}(\xi_1, \sigma(\xi_1)) = 0$. Then $B \subset X$ is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \mid \xi_2 = \varphi_2(\xi_1, \sigma(\xi_1))\}$, and it is nonsingular at p. When $L_{[1:0]}$ is contained in X, we have $c_{300} = 0$ and there is a holomorphic function $\eta_2(\xi_1, \zeta_2)$ such that $\varphi_2(\xi_1, \zeta_2) = \zeta_2\eta_2(\xi_1, \zeta_2)$. Then $L^+_{[1:0]} \subset Y$ is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_1, \zeta_2) \mid \zeta_2 = 0\}$, and $L^-_{[1:0]} \subset Y$ is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_1, \zeta_2) \mid \eta_2(\xi_1, \zeta_2) = 0\}$. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_2}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \zeta_2}(0,0) & \frac{\partial \zeta_2}{\partial \xi_1}(0,0) & \frac{\partial \eta_2}{\partial \xi_1}(0,0) \\ \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_2}{\partial {\zeta_2}^2}(0,0) & \frac{\partial \zeta_2}{\partial \zeta_2}(0,0) & \frac{\partial \eta_2}{\partial \zeta_2}(0,0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

D intersects $L^+_{[1:0]}$ and $L^-_{[1:0]}$ transversally, and $L^+_{[1:0]}$ intersects $L^-_{[1:0]}$ transversally at $(p, L_{[1:0]}) \in Y$. Since L is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \mid \xi_2 = 0\}$ and

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_2(\xi_1, \sigma(\xi_1))|_{\xi_1 = 0} = 0, \\ \frac{d}{d\xi_1}(\varphi_2(\xi_1, \sigma(\xi_1)))|_{\xi_1 = 0} = 0, \\ \frac{d^2}{d{\xi_1}^2}(\varphi_2(\xi_1, \sigma(\xi_1)))|_{\xi_1 = 0} = 2 \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

L intersects B at p with multiplicity 2.

3. The case where $\phi^{-1}(p) \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$. By Lemma 3.3, we may assume that $c_{000} = c_{100} =$

$c_{010} = c_{200} = c_{110} = c_{020} = c_{210} = c_{120} = 0$ and $c_{001} = 3c_{300} = 3c_{030} = 1$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\begin{vmatrix}\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \xi_1}(0,0,0,\zeta_2,0) & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \xi_3}(0,0,0,\zeta_2,0) & \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \zeta_3}(0,0,0,\zeta_2,0)\\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \xi_1}(0,0,0,\zeta_2,0) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \xi_3}(0,0,0,\zeta_2,0) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \zeta_3}(0,0,0,\zeta_2,0)\\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \xi_1}(0,0,0,\zeta_2,0) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \xi_3}(0,0,0,\zeta_2,0) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \zeta_3}(0,0,0,\zeta_2,0)\end{vmatrix}\\ &\qquad = \begin{vmatrix}0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & c_{101}+c_{011}\zeta_2 & 1\\ 1 & c_{201}+c_{111}\zeta_2+c_{021}\zeta_2^2 & c_{101}+c_{011}\zeta_2\end{vmatrix} = 1 \neq 0,\end{aligned}$$

there are holomorphic functions $\varphi_1(\xi_2, \zeta_2)$, $\varphi_3(\xi_2, \zeta_2)$ and $\mu_3(\xi_2, \zeta_2)$ on a neighborhood of $\{(\xi_2, \zeta_2) \mid \xi_2 = 0\}$ such that

$$\varphi_1(0, \zeta_2) = 0,\ \varphi_3(0, \zeta_2) = 0,\ \mu_3(0, \zeta_2) = 0$$

and

$$f_i(\varphi_1(\xi_2, \zeta_2), \xi_2, \varphi_3(\xi_2, \zeta_2), \zeta_2, \mu_3(\xi_2, \zeta_2)) = 0$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 2$. We remark that

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi_1(\xi_2, \zeta_2) \equiv -\zeta_2^2\xi_2 + (c_{101}\zeta_2 + c_{011}\zeta_2^2 + c_{101}\zeta_2^4 + c_{011}\zeta_2^5)\xi_2^2 \mod (\xi_2^3),\\ &\varphi_3(\xi_2, \zeta_2) \equiv 0 \mod (\xi_2^3),\\ &\mu_3(\xi_2, \zeta_2) \equiv (-\zeta_2 - \zeta_2^4)\xi_2^2 \mod (\xi_2^3).\end{aligned}$$

There is a holomorphic function $\eta_1(\xi_2, \zeta_2)$ such that

$$\varphi_1(\xi_2, \zeta_2) = \xi_2\eta_1(\xi_2, \zeta_2).$$

Since R is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_2, \zeta_2) \mid \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial \zeta_2}(\xi_2, \zeta_2) = 0\}$, E is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_2, \zeta_2) \mid \xi_2 = 0\}$ and D is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_2, \zeta_2) \mid \frac{\partial \eta_1}{\partial \zeta_2}(\xi_2, \zeta_2) = 0\}$. We remark that $L_{[1:\lambda]} \subset X$ if and only if $\lambda^3+1 = 0$. Hence p is an Eckardt point on X. We assume that $\lambda^3+1 = 0$. Then $L_{[1:\lambda]}$ is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \mid \xi_2 = \lambda\xi_1\}$ and $\phi^* L_{[1:\lambda]}$ is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_2, \zeta_2) \mid \xi_2 = \lambda\varphi_1(\xi_2, \zeta_2)\}$, hence $L^+_{[1:\lambda]} + L^-_{[1:\lambda]}$ is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_2, \zeta_2) \mid 1 = \lambda\eta_1(\xi_2, \zeta_2)\}$. Since $\eta_1(0, \zeta_2) = -\zeta_2^2$,

$$(0, \zeta_2) \in L^+_{[1:\lambda]} + L^-_{[1:\lambda]} \iff 1 = -\lambda\zeta_2^2 \iff \zeta_2^2 = \lambda^2.$$

Then $L^+_{[1:\lambda]}$ intersects E transversally at $(p, L_{[1:\lambda]})$ by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_2}(1 - \lambda\eta_1)\Big|_{(\xi_2,\zeta_2)=(0,\lambda)} = 2\lambda^2 \neq 0,$$

and $L^-_{[1:\lambda]}$ intersects E transversally at $(p, L_{[1:-\lambda]})$ by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_2}(1 - \lambda\eta_1)\Big|_{(\xi_2,\zeta_2)=(0,-\lambda)} = -2\lambda^2 \neq 0.$$

Since $\frac{\partial \eta_1}{\partial \zeta_2}(0, \zeta_2) = -2\zeta_2$,

$$(0, \zeta_2) \in D \Longleftrightarrow \zeta_2 = 0.$$

Then D intersects E transversally at $(p, L_{[1:0]})$ by

$$\frac{\partial^2 \eta_1}{\partial {\zeta_2}^2}(0, 0) = -2 \neq 0.$$

There is a holomorphic function $\sigma(\xi_2)$ on a neighborhood of $\xi_2 = 0$ such that $\sigma(0) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \eta_1}{\partial \zeta_2}(\xi_2, \sigma(\xi_2)) = 0$. Then the image B_1 of the local component of D at $(p, L_{[1:0]})$ by ϕ is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \mid \xi_1 = \varphi_1(\xi_2, \sigma(\xi_2))\}$. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}(\varphi_1(\xi_2, \sigma(\xi_2)))|_{\xi_2=0} = 0$, B_1 intersects $L_{[1:\lambda]}$ transversally at p. In the same way, we can show that D intersects E transversally at $(p, L_{[0:1]})$, and there is a holomorphic function $\tau(\xi_1)$ on a neighborhood of $\xi_1 = 0$ such that $\frac{d\tau}{d\xi_1}(0) = 0$ and the image B_2 of the local component of D at $(p, L_{[0:1]})$ by ϕ is locally isomorphic to $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \mid \xi_2 = \tau(\xi_1)\}$. Then B_2 intersects $L_{[1:\lambda]}$ and B_1 transversally at p. This implies that B has a node at p, and $L_{[1:\lambda]}$ intersects B at p with multiplicity 2.

By the above observation, we have $\phi^*L = L^+ + L^- + \sum_{e \in L \cap \mathrm{Sing}\,(B)} \phi^{-1}(e)$ for a line L on X, and $B \cap L$ is a set of distinct two point because $(B.L) = 4$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}(L^-.\, L^-) &= (L^-.\, \phi^*L - L^+ - \sum_{e \in L \cap \mathrm{Sing}\,(B)} \phi^{-1}(e)) \\ &= (L.\, L) - (L^-.\, L^+ + \sum_{e \in L \cap \mathrm{Sing}\,(B)} \phi^{-1}(e)) = -1 - 2 = -3.\end{aligned}$$

Each component of E corresponds to an Eckardt point on X, and it is a (-2)-curve on Y, because ϕ is the canonical map of Y by Proposition 2.1. □

Remark 3.4. There are at most two Eckardt points on a line $L \subset X$, hence there are at most 18 Eckardt points on X. If X has 18 Eckardt points, then X is isomorphic to the Fermat cubic surface [11].

Remark 3.5. Let $\phi' : Y' \to X$ be the finite double cover of X branched along B. Then Y' may have ordinary double points, and Y is the minimal resolution of Y',

Remark 3.6. By Theorem 3.2, for lines L_1, L_2, L on X and Eckardt points e_1, e_2, e on

X, the intersection numbers on Y are computed by

$$(L_1^+.L_2^+) = (L_1^-.L_2^-) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } L_1 \neq L_2, \\ -3 & \text{if } L_1 = L_2, \end{cases}$$

$$(L_1^+.L_2^-) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset, \\ 1 & \text{if } L_1 \cap L_2 \text{ is a point which is not an Eckardt point,} \\ 0 & \text{if } L_1 \cap L_2 \text{ is a point which is an Eckardt point,} \\ 0 & \text{if } L_1 = L_2 \text{ and there are two Eckardt points on } L_1 = L_2, \\ 1 & \text{if } L_1 = L_2 \text{ and there is only one Eckardt point on } L_1 = L_2, \\ 2 & \text{if } L_1 = L_2 \text{ and there are no Eckardt points on } L_1 = L_2, \end{cases}$$

$$(\phi^{-1}(e_1).\phi^{-1}(e_2)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e_1 \neq e_2. \\ -2 & \text{if } e_1 = e_2, \end{cases}$$

$$(L^+.\phi^{-1}(e)) = (L^-.\phi^{-1}(e)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e \notin L, \\ 1 & \text{if } e \in L. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.7. *Any (-2)-curve on Y is a component of E, and any (-3)-curve on Y is a component of $Y_\infty + Y_\infty^-$.*

Proof. Let C be a (-2)-curve on Y. Since $(\phi_*C.\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1)|_X) = (C.\ K_Y) = 0$, the image of C by the morphism ϕ is a point on X, hence C is a component of E. Let C be a (-3)-curve on Y. Since $(\phi_*C.\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1)|_X) = (C.\ K_Y) = 1$, the image of C by the morphism ϕ is a line on X, hence C is is a component of $Y_\infty + Y_\infty^-$. □

Remark 3.8. We can check that the divisor $Y_\infty + Y_\infty^-$ is connected. Hence, if a divisor W on Y is a disjoint union of irreducible components of $Y_\infty + Y_\infty^-$, and W contains a component of ϕ^*L for any line L on X, then $W = Y_\infty$ or $W = Y_\infty^-$.

Let $\psi = \Psi|_Y : Y \to Z = Z_3 \subset \lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)$ be the second projection in Remark 2.5, and let $[\mathcal{O}_Z(1)] \in H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z})$ be the class of a hyperplane section by the Plücker embedding $\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3) \subset \mathbf{P}^5$. Let Z_∞ be the set of all lines on the cubic surface X. For a line $L_0 \in Z_\infty$, we set $Z_\infty(L_0) = \{L \in Z_\infty \mid L_0 \neq L,\ L_0 \cap L \neq \emptyset\}$, which is a set of 10 lines.

Proposition 3.9. *There are the following relations in the Néron-Severi group* $\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)$:

$$\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(3)] = \phi^*[\mathcal{O}_X(3)] + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} L^+ \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(1)] = 3\phi^*L_0 - L_0^+ + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty(L_0)} L^+ \tag{3.3}$$

for any line $L_0 \in Z_\infty$.

Proof. Since $Y_\infty = \coprod_{L \in Z_\infty} L^+$, the relation (3.2) is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(Y_\infty) \simeq \mathcal{S}^{\otimes 3}|_Y \simeq \psi^* \mathcal{O}_Z(3) \otimes \phi^* \mathcal{O}_X(-3).$$

For $L_0 \in \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)$,

$$H_{L_0} = \{L \in \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3) \mid L_0 \cap L \neq \emptyset\}$$

is a hyperplane section by the Plücker embedding $\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3) \subset \mathbf{P}^5$. We prove that

$$\psi^* H_{L_0} = 2L_0^+ + 3L_0^- + 3 \sum_{e \in L_0 \cap \operatorname{Sing}(B)} \phi^{-1}(e) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty(L_0)} L^+$$

for $L_0 \in Z_\infty$. It gives the relation (3.3) by the relation in Theorem 3.2. For $(p, L) \in \psi^{-1}(H_{L_0}) \subset Y$, if $p \in L_0$ then

$$(p, L) \in \phi^{-1}(L_0) = L_0^+ \cup L_0^- \cup \bigcup_{e \in L_0 \cap \operatorname{Sing}(B)} \phi^{-1}(e),$$

and if $p \notin L_0$ then $L \subset X$. Hence the support of $\psi^* H_{L_0}$ is

$$\psi^{-1}(H_{L_0}) = L_0^+ \cup L_0^- \cup \bigcup_{e \in L_0 \cap \operatorname{Sing}(B)} \phi^{-1}(e) \cup \bigcup_{L \in Z_\infty(L_0)} L^+.$$

We compute the multiplicity of each component.

1. The case where there are no Eckardt points on the line L_0. We set integers a_+, a_- and a_L by

   $$\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(1)] = \psi^* H_{L_0} = a_+ L_0^+ + a_- L_0^- + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty(L_0)} a_L L^+.$$

   Since $(\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(1)].\ L^+) = 0$ for $L \in Z_\infty$,

   $$0 = (\psi^* H_{L_0}.\ L^+) = \begin{cases} -3a_+ + 2a_- & \text{if } L = L_0, \\ a_- - 3a_L & \text{if } L \in Z_\infty(L_0). \end{cases}$$

   By the relation (3.2),

   $$(\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(3)].\ L_0^-) = (\phi^*[\mathcal{O}_X(3)].\ L_0^-) + (L_0^+.\ L_0^-) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty(L_0)} (L^+.\ L_0^-) = 3 + 2 + 10,$$

   hence we have

   $$5 = (\psi^* H_{L_0}.\ L_0^-) = 2a_+ - 3a_- + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty(L_0)} a_L.$$

   These equations imply that $a_+ = 2$, $a_- = 3$ and $a_L = 1$ for $L \in Z_\infty(L_0)$.

2. The case where there is only one Eckardt point e on the line L_0. We denote by $Z_\infty(e, L_0) \subset Z_\infty(L_0)$ the set of two lines through the point e. We set integers a_+, a_-, b and a_L by

$$\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(1)] = \psi^* H_{L_0} = a_+ L_0^+ + a_- L_0^- + b\phi^{-1}(e) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty(L_0)} a_L L^+.$$

Since $(\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(1)].\, L^+) = 0$ for $L \in Z_\infty$,

$$0 = (\psi^* H_{L_0}.\, L^+) = \begin{cases} -3a_+ + a_- + b & \text{if } L = L_0, \\ a_- - 3a_L & \text{if } L \in Z_\infty(L_0) \setminus Z_\infty(e, L_0), \\ b - 3a_L & \text{if } L \in Z_\infty(e, L_0). \end{cases}$$

By the relation (3.2),

$$(\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(3)].\, L_0^-) = (\phi^*[\mathcal{O}_X(3)].\, L_0^-) + (L_0^+.\, L_0^-) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty(L_0)} (L^+.\, L_0^-) = 3 + 1 + 8$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(3)].\, \phi^{-1}(e)) &= (\phi^*[\mathcal{O}_X(3)].\, \phi^{-1}(e)) + (L_0^+.\, \phi^{-1}(e)) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty(L_0)} (L^+.\, \phi^{-1}(e)) \\ &= 0 + 1 + 2,\end{aligned}$$

hence we have

$$4 = (\psi^* H_{L_0}.\, L_0^-) = a_+ - 3a_- + b + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty(L_0) \setminus Z_\infty(e, L_0)} a_L$$

and

$$1 = (\psi^* H_{L_0}.\, \phi^{-1}(e)) = a_+ + a_- - 2b + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty(e, L_0)} a_L.$$

These equations imply that $a_+ = 2$, $a_- = 3$, $b = 3$ and $a_L = 1$ for $L \in Z_\infty(L_0)$.

3. The case where there are two Eckardt points e_1, e_2 on the line L_0. We set integers a_+, a_-, b_1, b_2 and a_L by

$$\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(1)] = \psi^* H_{L_0} = a_+ L_0^+ + a_- L_0^- + b_1\phi^{-1}(e_1) + b_2\phi^{-1}(e_2) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty(L_0)} a_L L^+.$$

Since $(\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(1)].\, L^+) = 0$ for $L \in Z_\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\psi^* H_{L_0}.\, L^+) \\ &= \begin{cases} -3a_+ + b_1 + b_2 & \text{if } L = L_0, \\ a_- - 3a_L & \text{if } L \in Z_\infty(L_0) \setminus \big(Z_\infty(e_1, L_0) \cup Z_\infty(e_2, L_0)\big), \\ b_i - 3a_L & \text{if } L \in Z_\infty(e_i, L_0). \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

By the relation 3.2,

$$(\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(3)].\ L_0^-) = (\phi^*[\mathcal{O}_X(3)].\ L_0^-) + (L_0^+.\ L_0^-) + \sum_{L\in Z_\infty(L_0)} (L^+.\ L_0^-) = 3 + 0 + 6$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&(\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(3)].\ \phi^{-1}(e_i))\\ =&(\phi^*[\mathcal{O}_X(3)].\ \phi^{-1}(e_i)) + (L_0^+.\ \phi^{-1}(e_i)) + \sum_{L\in Z_\infty(L_0)} (L^+.\ \phi^{-1}(e_i))\\ =&0+1+2,\end{aligned}$$

hence we have

$$3 = (\psi^* H_{L_0}.\ L_0^-) = -3a_- + b_1 + b_2 + \sum_{L\in Z_\infty(L_0)\setminus(Z_\infty(e_1,L_0)\cup Z_\infty(e_2,L_0))} a_L$$

and

$$1 = (\psi^* H_{L_0}.\ \phi^{-1}(e_i)) = a_+ + a_- - 2b_i + \sum_{L\in Z_\infty(e_i,L_0)} a_L.$$

These equations imply that $a_+ = 2$, $a_- = 3$, $b_1 = 3$, $b_2 = 3$ and $a_L = 1$ for $L \in Z_\infty(L_0)$.

□

4 Periods of cubic 3-folds

We review some works on cubic 3-folds by Clemens-Griffiths [3] and Tjurin [12]. Let $V \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ be a nonsingular cubic 3-folds. We define a subvariety W of $\mathbf{P}^4 \times \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^4)$ by

$$W = \{(p, L) \in \mathbf{P}^4 \times \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^4) \mid p \in L \subset V\},$$

and we define a subvariety S of $\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^4)$ by

$$S = \{L \in \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^4) \mid L \subset V\},$$

which is a nonsingular surface and called the Fano surface of lines on V. The first projection $\phi : W \to V$ is a generically finite morphism of degree 6, and the second projection $\psi : W \to S$ is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle.

Theorem 4.1 (Clemens-Griffiths [3], Theorem 11.19)**.** *The homomorphism*

$$\phi_* \circ \psi^* : H^3(S, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^3(V, \mathbf{Z})$$

is an isomorphism of Hodge structures.

Let J be the intermediate Jacobian of the Hodge structure $H^3(V,\mathbf{Z})$. Then the complex torus J is a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension 5. We denote by $\theta \in H^2(J,\mathbf{Z})$ the class of the polarization. Let A be the Albanese variety of S, and $\iota : S \to A$ the Albanese morphism. By Theorem 4.1, there is a natural isomorphism $A \simeq J$ of abelian varieties. Let us denote by $\theta \in H^2(A,\mathbf{Z})$ the corresponding principal polarization on A. The primitive part of $H^2(A,\mathbf{Z})$ is defined as the space

$$H^2_{\text{prim}}(A,\mathbf{Z}) = \operatorname{Ker}\big(\theta^{\cup 4} : H^2(A,\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{10}(A,\mathbf{Z});\ \alpha \longmapsto \theta^{\cup 4} \cup \alpha\big),$$

and the primitive part of $H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})$ is defined as the space

$$H^2_{\text{prim}}(S,\mathbf{Z}) = \operatorname{Ker}\big([\mathcal{O}_S(1)] : H^2(S,\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^4(S,\mathbf{Z});\ \beta \longmapsto [\mathcal{O}_S(1)] \cup \beta\big),$$

where $[\mathcal{O}_S(1)] \in H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})$ is the class of a hyperplane section by the Plücker embedding $\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^4) \subset \mathbf{P}^9$. We define a symmetric form on $H^2(A,\mathbf{Z})$ by

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A : H^2(A,\mathbf{Z}) \times H^2(A,\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z};\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \longmapsto \deg\Big(\Big(\frac{\theta^{\cup 3}}{3!} \cup \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2\Big) \cap [A]\Big),$$

and a symmetric form on $H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})$ by

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_S : H^2(S,\mathbf{Z}) \times H^2(S,\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z};\ (\beta_1,\beta_2) \longmapsto \deg\left((\beta_1 \cup \beta_2) \cap [S]\right).$$

We remark that these symmetric forms give polarizations of Hodge structures on the primitive part $H^2_{\text{prim}}(A,\mathbf{Z})$ and $H^2_{\text{prim}}(S,\mathbf{Z})$.

Proposition 4.2. *The homomorphism $\iota^* : H^2(A,\mathbf{Z}) \to H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})$ induces the isomorphism*

$$\big(H^2_{\text{prim}}(A,\mathbf{Z}),\ \langle\ ,\ \rangle_A\big) \simeq \big(H^2_{\text{prim}}(S,\mathbf{Z}),\ \langle\ ,\ \rangle_S\big)$$

of polarized Hodge structures.

Proof. By [3, Lemma 9.13 and (10.14)], the homomorphism $\iota^* : H^2(A,\mathbf{Z}) \to H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})$ is injective with a finite cokernel. By [4, (2.3.5)], the homology group $H_1(S,\mathbf{Z})$ has no torsion element, and the cokernel of $\iota_* : H_2(S,\mathbf{Z}) \to H_2(A,\mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. Hence $H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})$ has no torsion element, and the cokernel of $\iota^* : H^2(A,\mathbf{Z}) \to H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. Since $[\iota(S)] = \frac{\theta^{\cup 3}}{6} \in H^6(A,\mathbf{Z})$ by [3, Proposition 13.1], we have

$$\iota_*((\iota^*\alpha_1 \cup \iota^*\alpha_2) \cap [S]) = (\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2) \cap \iota_*[S] = (\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2) \cap \Big(\frac{\theta^{\cup 3}}{6} \cap [A]\Big) = \Big(\frac{\theta^{\cup 3}}{6} \cup \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2\Big) \cap [A]$$

for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in H^2(A,\mathbf{Z})$, hence the homomorphism ι^* is compatible with the symmetric forms. Let $\tau \in H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})$ be the class of an incidence divisor [3, §2]. Since $3\tau = [\mathcal{O}_S(1)]$ by [3, §10], the primitive part $H^2_{\text{prim}}(S,\mathbf{Z})$ is equal to the space orthogonal to τ. Since $2\tau = \iota^*\theta$ by [3, Lemma 11.27], we have

$$\iota_*((2\tau \cup \iota^*\alpha) \cap [S]) = \iota_*((\iota^*\theta \cup \iota^*\alpha) \cap [S]) = \Big(\frac{\theta^{\cup 4}}{6} \cup \alpha\Big) \cap [A]$$

for any $\alpha \in H^2(A, \mathbf{Z})$. Hence we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(A, \mathbf{Z}) \arrow[d] & H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(S, \mathbf{Z}) \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & H^2(A, \mathbf{Z}) \arrow[r, "\iota^*"] \arrow[d, "\frac{\theta^{\cup 4}}{12}"'] & H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\tau"] & \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & \mathbf{Z} \arrow[r, phantom, "="] & \mathbf{Z}. & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since θ is a principal polarization, the image of the homomorphism

$$\frac{\theta^{\cup 4}}{12} : H^2(A, \mathbf{Z}) \to \mathbf{Z};\ \alpha \longmapsto \deg\left(\left(\frac{\theta^{\cup 4}}{12} \cup \alpha\right) \cap [A]\right)$$

is $2\mathbf{Z}$. And the image of the homomorphism

$$\tau : H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}) \to \mathbf{Z};\ \alpha \longmapsto \deg\left((\tau \cup \alpha) \cap [S]\right)$$

is not contained in $2\mathbf{Z}$, because $\deg\left(\tau^{\cup 2} \cap [S]\right) = 5 \notin 2\mathbf{Z}$ by [3, (10.8)]. Hence $\tau : H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}) \to \mathbf{Z}$ is surjective, and $\iota^* : H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(A, \mathbf{Z}) \to H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(S, \mathbf{Z})$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

5 Periods of cubic surfaces

Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be a nonsingular cubic surface defined by $F(x_0, \ldots, x_3) \in \mathbf{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_3]$. Let $V \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ be the cubic 3-fold defined by $F(x_0, \ldots, x_3) + x_4^3 \in \mathbf{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_4]$. Then the projection

$$\rho : V \to \mathbf{P}^3;\ [x_0 : \cdots : x_3 : x_4] \mapsto [x_0 : \cdots : x_3]$$

is the triple Galois cover branched along the cubic surface X. Let S be the Fano surface of lines on V. Then the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}$ of the cover ρ acts on the surface S.

Lemma 5.1. *Let L be a line in $\mathbf{P}^4$. If L is contained in V, then its image $\rho(L) \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ by ρ is a line in $\mathbf{P}^3$, and it is contained in X or intersects X at only one point with multiplicity* 3.

Proof. Let $H_4 \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ be the hyperplane defined by the equation $x_4 = 0$. If L is contained in $H_4 \cap V$, then it is clear that $\rho(L)$ is a line contained in X. We assume that $L \cap H_4$ is a point $[a_0 : \cdots : a_3 : 0] \in \mathbf{P}^4$. By taking a point $[b_0 : \cdots : b_3 : 1] \in L \setminus H_4$, the line L is written as

$$L = \{[a_0 t_0 + b_0 t_1 : \cdots : a_3 t_0 + b_3 t_1 : t_1] \in \mathbf{P}^4 \mid [t_0 : t_1] \in \mathbf{P}^1\}.$$

If $L \subset V$, then

$$F(a_0t_0 + b_0t_1, \ldots, a_3t_0 + b_3t_1) + t_1^3 = 0 \in \mathbf{C}[t_0, t_1].$$

Since $F(b_0, \ldots, b_3) + 1 = 0$ and $F(a_0, \ldots, a_3) = 0$, we have $(b_1, \ldots, b_3) \neq (0, \ldots, 0)$ and $[a_0 : \cdots : a_3] \neq [b_0 : \cdots : b_3]$, hence

$$\rho(L) = \{[a_0t_0 + b_0t_1 : \cdots : a_3t_0 + b_3t_1] \in \mathbf{P}^3 \mid [t_0 : t_1] \in \mathbf{P}^1\}.$$

is a line in $\mathbf{P}^3$. Since $F(a_0t_0 + b_0t_1, \ldots, a_3t_0 + b_3t_1) = -t_1^3$, the line $\rho(L)$ intersects X at the point $[a_0 : \cdots : a_3] \in \mathbf{P}^3$ with multiplicity 3. □

Let $Z = Z_3$ be the surface in Remark 2.5. By Lemma 5.1, the line $\rho(L)$ represents a point of Z for a line L on V. Let us abuse notation by

$$\rho : S \longrightarrow Z;\ L \longmapsto \rho(L).$$

We set

$$S_\infty = \{L \in \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^4) \mid L \subset V \cap H_4\},$$

which is a set of 27 points on S.

Lemma 5.2. *$\rho : S \to Z$ is the quotient morphism by the* $\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)$*-action, and S_∞ is the set of the fixed point by the* $\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)$*-action on S.*

Proof. Let $\omega \in \mathbf{C}$ be a primitive 3-rd root of unity. The automorphism

$$\sigma : V \longrightarrow V;\ [x_0 : \cdots : x_3 : x_4] \longmapsto [x_0 : \cdots : x_3 : \omega x_4]$$

is a generator of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)$. For a line L on V, we have $\rho(L) = \rho(\sigma(L))$, and if $L = \sigma(L)$, then L is contained in H_4. Hence S_∞ is the set of fixed points of the $\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)$-action on S. Let

$$L' = \{[a_0t_0 + b_0t_1 : \cdots : a_3t_0 + b_3t_1] \in \mathbf{P}^3 \mid [t_0 : t_1] \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$$

be a line in $\mathbf{P}^3$ which intersects X at $[a_0 : \cdots : a_3]$ with multiplicity ≥ 3. Then there exists $c \in \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$F(a_0t_0 + b_0t_1, \ldots, a_3t_0 + b_3t_1) = ct_1^3.$$

If a line

$$L = \{[a_0t_0 + b_0t_1 : \cdots : a_3t_0 + b_3t_1 : a_4t_0 + b_4t_1] \in \mathbf{P}^4 \mid [t_0 : t_1] \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$$

is contained in V, then

$$-(a_4t_0 + b_4t_1)^3 = F(a_0t_0 + b_0t_1, \ldots, a_3t_0 + b_3t_1) = ct_1^3,$$

hence $a_4 = 0$ and $b_4^3 = -c$. This imply that the morphism $\rho : S \to Z$ is surjective, and the fiber at $L' \in Z$ is contained in a $\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)$-orbit. □

Remark 5.3. Each singularity of Z is isomorphic to the quotient of $\mathbf{C}^2$ by the cyclic group generated by the action $(a,b) \mapsto (\omega a, \omega b)$. Hence we have

$$H^i(Z, Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \begin{cases} (\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 27} & \text{if } i = 3, \\ \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 27} & \text{if } i = 4, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq 3, 4. \end{cases}$$

Let $\phi : Y = Y_3 \to X$ be the double cover branched along its Hessian, and let Y_∞ be the distinguished divisor on Y which is introduced in Section 2. By Remark 5.3, the restriction homomorphism $H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}) \to H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H^2(Y \setminus Y_\infty, \mathbf{Z})$ is injective with a finite cokernel, hence $\psi^* : H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}) \to H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})$ is injective. Since $H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})$ is torsion free, $H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z})$ is also torsion free. The period integral

$$H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^2(\log Y_\infty)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_2(Y \setminus Y_\infty, \mathbf{Z}), \mathbf{C}\right);\ \omega \longmapsto \left[\gamma \mapsto \int_\gamma \omega\right]$$

defines Hodge structures of pure weight 2 on $H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})$. For $\gamma \in H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})$, there is a unique $\bar{\gamma} \in H^2(Z, \mathbf{Q})$ such that the restriction of $\bar{\gamma}$ to $H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Q})$ is equal to the class of γ in the rational cohomology group. We define the primitive part of $H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})$ by

$$H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(Z, \mathbf{Z}) = \operatorname{Ker}\left([\mathcal{O}_Z(1)] : H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^4(Z, \mathbf{Z});\ \gamma \longmapsto [\mathcal{O}_Z(1)] \cup \gamma\right),$$

$$H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) = \operatorname{Ker}\left([\mathcal{O}_Z(1)] : H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^4(Z, \mathbf{Q});\ \gamma \longmapsto [\mathcal{O}_Z(1)] \cup \bar{\gamma}\right).$$

We define symmetric forms on $H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})$ by

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_Z : H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}) \times H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z};\ (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \longmapsto \deg\left((\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2) \cap [Z]\right),$$

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_Z : H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \times H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q};\ (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \longmapsto \deg\left((\bar{\gamma}_1 \cup \bar{\gamma}_2) \cap [Z]\right).$$

These symmetric forms give polarizations of Hodge structures on the primitive part $H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(Z, \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})$.

Proposition 5.4. *The homomorphism*

$$H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\rho^*} H^2(S \setminus S_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$$

induces an isomorphism $H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{free}} \simeq H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)}$ *of Hodge structures and an isomorphism*

$$\left(H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{free}},\ 3\langle\ ,\ \rangle_Z\right) \simeq \left(H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(S, \mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)},\ \langle\ ,\ \rangle_S\right)$$

of polarized Hodge structures.

Proof. Since $\rho : S\setminus S_\infty \to Z\setminus Z_\infty$ is a finite étale Galois cover, we have the Cartan-Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho), H^q(S \setminus S_\infty, \mathbf{Z})) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z}).$$

Since the $\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)$-action on $H^0(S \setminus S_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H^0(S, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ is trivial, we have

$$H^p(\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho), H^0(S \setminus S_\infty, \mathbf{Z})) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z} & \text{if } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \text{ is odd}, \\ \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z} & \text{if } p \neq 0 \text{ is even}. \end{cases}$$

Since $H^1(S \setminus S_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H^1(S, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H^3(V, \mathbf{Z})$ is a free $\mathbf{Z}$-module of rank 10 and the $\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)$-action has no invariant part, it is regard as a free $\mathbf{Z}[\omega]$-module of rank 5, where $\mathbf{Z}[\omega] \simeq \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)]/(\sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \sigma)$ is the ring of Eisenstein integers [1, (2.2)]. Hence we have

$$H^p(\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho), H^1(S \setminus S_\infty, \mathbf{Z})) \simeq \begin{cases} (\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 5} & \text{if } p \text{ is odd}, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \text{ is even}. \end{cases}$$

By the spectral sequence, the homomorphism

$$H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho), H^2(S \setminus S_\infty, \mathbf{Z})) \simeq H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)}$$

is surjective, and its kernel is of order 3^6. Since $\rho^*\mathcal{O}_Z(1) = \mathcal{O}_S(1)$, we have

$$\rho_*(([\mathcal{O}_S(1)] \cup \rho^*\bar{\gamma}) \cap [S]) = ([\mathcal{O}_Z(1)] \cup \bar{\gamma}) \cap \rho_*[S] = ([\mathcal{O}_Z(1)] \cup \bar{\gamma}) \cap 3[Z]$$

for $\gamma \in H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})$, hence $\gamma \in H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})$ if and only if $\rho^*\bar{\gamma} \in H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(S, \mathbf{Q})$. And we have

$$\deg((\rho^*\bar{\gamma}_1 \cup \rho^*\bar{\gamma}_2) \cap [S]) = \deg((\bar{\gamma}_1 \cup \bar{\gamma}_2) \cap \rho_*[S]) = 3 \deg((\bar{\gamma}_1 \cup \bar{\gamma}_2) \cap [Z])$$

for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})$. ☐

Remark 5.5. In the similar way, we can prove that the coinvariant part of the $\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)$-action on $H_2(S, \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H_2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})$. By the duality $H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H_2(Z, Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H_2(Z, \mathbf{Z})$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^2(S \setminus S_\infty, \mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} & H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{free}} \arrow[l, "\rho^*"', "\simeq"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] & H_2(Z, \mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{free}} & H_2(S, \mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \arrow[l, hook', "\rho_*"'] \\
H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \arrow[u, "\simeq"'] & H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}) \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\cup"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] & H_2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \arrow[u, phantom, "\cup"] & H_2(S \setminus S_\infty, \mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)}. \arrow[l, "\simeq"'] \arrow[u, "\simeq"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remark 5.6. The restriction $H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z}) \to H^2(Y \setminus Y_\infty, \mathbf{Z})$ induces an isomorphism

$$\frac{H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})}{\sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+} \simeq H^2(Y \setminus Y_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z}),$$

and the injection $\psi^* : H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}) \to H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})$ induces an isomorphism

$$H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \Big(\sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+\Big)^\perp \subset H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z}),$$

where $\perp$ means the orthogonal complement in the unimodular lattice

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_Y : H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z}) \times H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z};\ (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \longmapsto \deg\left((\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2) \cap [Y]\right).$$

Proposition 5.7. *The homomorphism*

$$H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\phi^*} H^2(Y \setminus Y_\infty, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})$$

induces an isomorphism

$$\frac{H^2_{\text{prim}}(X, \mathbf{Z})}{3H^2_{\text{prim}}(X, \mathbf{Z})} \simeq H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})_{\text{tor}}$$

of abelian groups.

Proof. Since $\psi^* H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}) = \left(\sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+\right)^\perp \subset H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})$, the primitive closure of the sublattice $\sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+$ in $H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})$ is $\left(\psi^* H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z})\right)^\perp \subset H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})$, hence the torsion part of $H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})$ is

$$H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})_{\text{tor}} \simeq \Big(\frac{H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})}{\sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+}\Big)_{\text{tor}} \simeq \frac{(\psi^* H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}))^\perp}{\sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+}.$$

By the proof of Proposition 5.4, this is an abelian group of order 3^6, hence the sublattice $(\psi^* H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}))^\perp \subset H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})$ is of rank 27 and

$$\det\,(\psi^* H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}))^\perp = (3^6)^{-2} \cdot \det\Big(\sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+\Big) = -3^{15}.$$

Since $H^2_{\text{prim}}(X, \mathbf{Z})$ is generated by the difference of two lines on X, by Proposition 3.9, we have $3\phi^* H^2_{\text{prim}}(X, \mathbf{Z}) \subset \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+$ and $\phi^* H^2_{\text{prim}}(X, \mathbf{Z}) \subset (\psi^* H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z}))^\perp$. By Remark 3.6, we can directly compute the determinant of the sublattice $\phi^* H^2_{\text{prim}}(X, \mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+ \subset H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})$, that is $\det\left(\phi^* H^2_{\text{prim}}(X, \mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+\right) = -3^{15}$. Hence we have

$$\phi^* H^2_{\text{prim}}(X, \mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+ = \psi^* H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z})^\perp.$$

This implies that the homomorphism

$$(\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 6} \simeq \frac{H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X,\mathbf{Z})}{3H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X,\mathbf{Z})} \longrightarrow \frac{(\psi^* H^2(Z,\mathbf{Z}))^{\perp}}{\sum_{L\in Z_\infty}\mathbf{Z}L^+}$$

is surjective. Since the order of these groups are both equal to 3^6, it is an isomorphism. $\square$

By proposition 5.7 and Remark 5.6, we have the isomorphism

$$\frac{H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})}{\phi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X,\mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L\in Z_\infty}\mathbf{Z}L^+} \simeq H^2(Z\setminus Z_\infty,\mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{free}}.$$

We denote by $\big(\frac{H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})}{\phi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X,\mathbf{Z})+\sum_{L\in Z_\infty}\mathbf{Z}L^+}\big)_0$ the subspace of $\frac{H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})}{\phi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X,\mathbf{Z})+\sum_{L\in Z_\infty}\mathbf{Z}L^+}$ orthogonal to $[\psi^*\mathcal{O}_Z(1)] \in H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})$. We denote by $\big(\bigwedge^2 H^3(V,\mathbf{Z})\big)_0$ the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\bigwedge^2 H^3(V,\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z};\ \alpha_1\wedge\alpha_2 \longmapsto \deg\left((\alpha_1\cup\alpha_2)\cap[V]\right),$$

and denote by $H^3(V,\mathbf{Z})(1)$ the Hodge structure of weight 1 which is defined from the Hodge structure $H^3(V,\mathbf{Z})$ by the shift of the weight.

Theorem 5.8. *There is a natural injective homomorphism*

$$\Big(\bigwedge^2 H^3(V,\mathbf{Z})(1)\Big)^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \longrightarrow \frac{H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})}{\phi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X,\mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L\in Z_\infty}\mathbf{Z}L^+}$$

with the cokernel $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$*, which induces an isomorphism*

$$\Big(\bigwedge^2 H^3(V,\mathbf{Z})(1)\Big)_0^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \simeq \Big(\frac{H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})}{\phi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X,\mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L\in Z_\infty}\mathbf{Z}L^+}\Big)_0$$

of Hodge structures.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, [3, Lemma 9.13 and (10.14)], Proposition 5.4, Remark 5.6 and Proposition 5.7, we have the following sequence of homomorphisms of Hodge structures;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\big(\bigwedge^2 H^3(V,\mathbf{Z})(1)\big)^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \big(\bigwedge^2 H^1(S,\mathbf{Z})\big)^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] & \big(\bigwedge^2 H^1(A,\mathbf{Z})\big)^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \arrow[l, "\sim"'] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
H^2(S\setminus S_\infty,\mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} & H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \arrow[l, "\sim"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\supset"] & H^2(A,\mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \\
H^2(Z\setminus Z_\infty,\mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{free}} \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[r, "\sim"] & H^2(Y\setminus Y_\infty,\mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{free}} & \frac{H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})}{\phi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X,\mathbf{Z})+\sum_{L\in Z_\infty}\mathbf{Z}L^+}. \arrow[l, "\sim"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\big(\bigwedge^2 H^3(V,\mathbf{Z})(1)\big)_0$ corresponds to $H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(A,\mathbf{Z})$, and $\big(\frac{H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})}{\phi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X,\mathbf{Z})+\sum_{L\in Z_\infty}\mathbf{Z}L^+}\big)_0$ corresponds to $H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(S,\mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)}$, by Proposition 4.2 we have the isomorphism

$$\Big(\bigwedge^2 H^3(V,\mathbf{Z})(1)\Big)_0^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \simeq \Big(\frac{H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})}{\phi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X,\mathbf{Z})+\sum_{L\in Z_\infty}\mathbf{Z}L^+}\Big)_0.$$

□

We denote by A_i the positive definite root lattice of type A_i, and by $\mathbf{1}$ the trivial lattice of rank 1.

Proposition 5.9. *There are isomorphisms of lattices;*

$$\big(H^2(Z\setminus Z_\infty,\mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{free}},\langle\ ,\ \rangle_Z\big) \simeq \big(\frac{1}{3}\cdot\mathbf{1}\big)\oplus\big(-\frac{1}{3}\cdot\mathbf{1}\big)^{\oplus 4}\oplus\big(\frac{1}{3}\cdot A_2\big)^{\oplus 4}\oplus\big(-\frac{1}{3}\cdot A_2\big)^{\oplus 6},$$

$$\big(H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(Z\setminus Z_\infty,\mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{free}},\ \langle\ ,\ \rangle_Z\big) \simeq \big(-\frac{1}{3}\cdot A_4\big)\oplus\big(\frac{1}{3}\cdot A_2\big)^{\oplus 4}\oplus\big(-\frac{1}{3}\cdot A_2\big)^{\oplus 6},$$

$$\big(H^2(Z,\mathbf{Z}),\langle\ ,\ \rangle_Z\big) \simeq (3\cdot\mathbf{1})\oplus(-3\cdot\mathbf{1})^{\oplus 4}\oplus A_2^{\oplus 4}\oplus(-A_2)^{\oplus 6},$$

$$\big(H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(Z,\mathbf{Z}),\langle\ ,\ \rangle_Z\big) \simeq (-3\cdot A_4)\oplus A_2^{\oplus 4}\oplus(-A_2)^{\oplus 6}.$$

We define an alternating form on $H^1(A,\mathbf{Z})$ by

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_A : H^1(A,\mathbf{Z})\times H^1(A,\mathbf{Z})\longrightarrow\mathbf{Z};\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2)\longmapsto \deg\Big(\Big(\frac{\theta^{\cup 4}}{4!}\cup\alpha_1\cup\alpha_2\Big)\cap[A]\Big).$$

Lemma 5.10 ([1] (2.7))**.** *There is a basis $(v_0,\dots,v_4)$ of the $\mathbf{Z}[\omega]$-module $H^1(A,\mathbf{Z})$ such that*

$$\Big(\langle v_i,v_j\rangle_A\Big)_{0\le i,j\le 4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0 \end{pmatrix},\quad \Big(\langle v_i,\omega v_j\rangle_A\Big)_{0\le i,j\le 4} = \begin{pmatrix} -1&0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&0&1&0\\ 0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof of Proposition 5.9. Using the basis in Lemma 5.10, the class of the principal polarization is

$$\theta = -v_0\cup\omega v_0+\sum_{i=1}^{4} v_i\cup\omega v_i\in H^2(A,\mathbf{Z}).$$

We set $\tau=\frac{1}{2}\theta\in H^2(A,\mathbf{Q})$. Then τ corresponds to the class of an incidence divisor on S, and $H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})$ is identified with the sublattice in $H^2(A,\mathbf{Q})$ generated by τ and $H^2(A,\mathbf{Z})$. We define sublattices in $H^2(A,\mathbf{Q})$ by

$$U_0=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{4}\mathbf{Z}v_i\cup\omega v_i\subset H^2(A,\mathbf{Z}),$$

$$\tilde{U}_0 = \mathbf{Z}\tau + U_0 = \mathbf{Z}\tau \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} \mathbf{Z}v_i \cup \omega v_i \subset H^2(A, \mathbf{Q}),$$

$$U_0' = \mathbf{Z}(v_0 \cup \omega v_0 + v_1 \cup \omega v_1) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} \mathbf{Z}(v_{i+1} \cup \omega v_{i+1} - v_i \cup \omega v_i) \subset H^2(A, \mathbf{Z})$$

and

$$U_{i,j} = \mathbf{Z}v_i \cup v_j \oplus \mathbf{Z}v_i \cup \omega v_j \oplus \mathbf{Z}\omega v_i \cup v_j \oplus \mathbf{Z}\omega v_i \cup \omega v_j \subset H^2(A, \mathbf{Z})$$

for $0 \leq i < j \leq 4$. Then we have orthogonal decompositions of lattices

$$H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}) = \tilde{U}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < j \leq 4} U_{i,j},$$

$$H^2_{\text{prim}}(S, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq H^2_{\text{prim}}(A, \mathbf{Z}) = U_0' \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \leq i < j \leq 4} U_{i,j},$$

which are compatible with the $\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)$-action. The $\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)$-action on $\tilde{U}_0 \simeq \mathbf{1} \oplus (-\mathbf{1})^{\oplus 4}$ and $U_0' \simeq (-A_4)$ are trivial, and the invariant parts of the $\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)$-action on $U_{i,j}$ are

$$U_{0,j}^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} = \mathbf{Z}(v_0 \cup v_j + \omega v_0 \cup \omega v_j + \omega v_0 \cup v_j) \oplus \mathbf{Z}(v_0 \cup v_j + \omega v_0 \cup \omega v_j + v_0 \cup \omega v_j) \simeq A_2$$

for $1 \leq j \leq 4$, and

$$U_{i,j}^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} = \mathbf{Z}(v_i \cup v_j + \omega v_i \cup \omega v_j + \omega v_i \cup v_j) \oplus \mathbf{Z}(v_i \cup v_j + \omega v_i \cup \omega v_j + v_i \cup \omega v_j) \simeq (-A_2)$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$. Hence we have

$$\left(H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle_S\right) \simeq \mathbf{1} \oplus (-\mathbf{1})^{\oplus 4} \oplus A_2^{\oplus 4} \oplus (-A_2)^{\oplus 6}$$

and

$$\left(H^2_{\text{prim}}(S, \mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle_S\right) \simeq (-A_4) \oplus A_2^{\oplus 4} \oplus (-A_2)^{\oplus 6}.$$

By Proposition 5.4, we have the results for lattices $H^2(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})_{\text{free}}$ and $H^2_{\text{prim}}(Z \setminus Z_\infty, \mathbf{Z})_{\text{free}}$. In the similar way, the statements for lattices $H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^2_{\text{prim}}(Z, \mathbf{Z})$ can be proved. □

Proposition 5.11.

$$\phi^* H^2_{\text{prim}}(X, \mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+ = \left(\psi^* H^2(Z, \mathbf{Z})\right)^\perp,$$

$$\phi^* H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+ = \left(\psi^* H^2_{\text{prim}}(Z, \mathbf{Z})\right)^\perp.$$

Proof. The first equality has been proved in the proof of Proposition 5.7. Since

$$\psi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(Z,\mathbf{Z}) = \big(\phi^* H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L\in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+\big)^\perp,$$

we have

$$\phi^* H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L\in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+ \subset (\psi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(Z,\mathbf{Z}))^\perp,$$

which are sublattices of rank 28. We compute the determinant of these lattices. By Proposition 5.9, we have

$$\det H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(Z,\mathbf{Z}) = 3^4 \cdot \det(-A_4) \cdot (\det A_2)^4 \cdot (\det(-A_2))^6 = 3^{14} \cdot 5,$$

hence $\det(\psi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(Z,\mathbf{Z}))^\perp = -3^{14} \cdot 5$. On the other hand, by Remark 3.6, we can directly compute the determinant of the sublattice $\phi^* H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L\in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+ \subset H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})$, that is

$$\det\Big(\phi^* H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L\in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+\Big) = -3^{14} \cdot 5,$$

hence we have the second equality. □

6 Néron-Severi lattice

The Néron-Severi group $\mathrm{NS}(Y)$ of the surface Y is the subgroup of $H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})$ generated by algebraic cycles. Since $H^2(X,\mathbf{Z})$ is generated by algebraic cycles,

$$\mathrm{NS}(Y)_0 = \phi^* H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L\in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+ \subset H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z})$$

is contained in $\mathrm{NS}(Y)$. By the proof of Proposition 5.11, $\mathrm{NS}_0(Y)$ is a sublattice of rank 28 with the determinant $-3^{14} \cdot 5$. If there are no Eckardt points on X, then $\mathrm{NS}(Y)_0 = \sum_{L\in Z_\infty}(\mathbf{Z}L^+ + \mathbf{Z}L^-)$.

Theorem 6.1. $\mathrm{NS}(Y) = \mathrm{NS}(Y)_0$ *for a generic cubic surface* X.

The idea of the proof is based on the theory of infinitesimal variations of Hodge structure [2, Section 3]. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(3))^\vee)$ be the space of smooth cubic surfaces, and let $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{M}$ be the family of the surface Y. We define a homomorphism by

$$\epsilon : H^1(Y,\Omega^1_Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(T_{\mathcal{M}}([F]), H^2(Y,\mathcal{O}_Y));\ \omega \longmapsto \big[\xi \mapsto c(\kappa(\xi)\cup\omega)\big],$$

where $T_{\mathcal{M}}([F])$ is the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}$ at $[F] \in \mathcal{M}$, Y is the fiber of $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{M}$ at $[F] \in \mathcal{M}$, $\kappa(\xi) \in H^1(Y, T_Y)$ is the Kodaira-Spencer class of $\xi \in T_{\mathcal{M}}([F])$, and

$$c : H^2(Y, T_Y \otimes \Omega^1_Y) \longrightarrow H^2(Y,\mathcal{O}_Y)$$

is the contraction homomorphism. We remark that $\mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{NS}(Y)$ is isomorphic to the kernel of ϵ for a generic $[F] \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 6.2. *The homomorphism* $\epsilon : H^1(Y, \Omega^1_Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}\,(T_{\mathcal{M}}([F]), H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y))$ *is of rank* 16*.*

The computation of the infinitesimal variations of Hodge structure for Y is given in Section 7, and Proposition 6.2 will be proved there.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. By Proposition 5.11, $\frac{\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)}{\mathrm{NS}_0\,(Y)}$ has no torsion element, and by Proposition 6.2, the rank of $\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)$ is 28 for a generic cubic surface X. Hence we have $\frac{\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)}{\mathrm{NS}_0\,(Y)} = 0$ for a generic X. $\square$

Next we study the surface Y for the Fermat cubic surface X. Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be the cubic surface defined by $F = x_0^3 + \cdots + x_3^3$. Then the triple Galois cover V of $\mathbf{P}^3$ branched along X is the Fermat cubic 3-fold defined by $\tilde{F} = x_0^3 + \cdots + x_3^3 + x_4^3$. We set a point $e^\alpha_{i,j}$ on V by

$$e^\alpha_{i,j} = \big\{[x_0 : \cdots : x_4] \in \mathbf{P}^4 \mid x_i + \alpha x_j = 0,\ x_k = 0 \text{ for } k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 4\} \setminus \{i, j\}\big\}$$

for $0 \le i < j \le 4$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\alpha^3 = 1$. The point $e^\alpha_{i,j}$ corresponds to an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}^\alpha_{i,j}$ on the Fano surface S of lines on $V \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ by

$$\mathcal{E}^\alpha_{i,j} = \{L \in S \mid e^\alpha_{i,j} \in L\}.$$

Theorem 6.3 (Roulleau [10], Theorem 3.13)**.** *For the Fermat cubic* 3*-fold* V*, the Néron-Severi lattice* $\mathrm{NS}\,(S)$ *is of rank* 25 *with the determinant* 3^{18}*, and*

$$\mathrm{NS}\,(S) = \mathbf{Z}\tau + \sum_{0 \le i < j \le 4} (\mathbf{Z}\mathcal{E}^1_{i,j} + \mathbf{Z}\mathcal{E}^\omega_{i,j} + \mathbf{Z}\mathcal{E}^{\omega^2}_{i,j}),$$

where τ *is the class of an incidence divisor.*

By using Theorem 6.3, we compute the Néron-Severi lattice $\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)$ for the Fermat cubic surface X. The branch divisor B of the double cover $\phi : Y \to X$ is the sum of the elliptic curves

$$B_k = \{[x_0 : \cdots : x_3] \in X \mid x_k = 0\}$$

for $0 \le k \le 3$, because the Hessian of F is $6^4 x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3$. Let D_k be the irreducible component of the ramification divisor R of $\phi : Y \to X$ which corresponds to B_k, and let $E^\alpha_{i,j}$ be the irreducible component of the ramification divisor R which corresponds to the Eckardt point

$$\rho(e^\alpha_{i,j}) = \big\{[x_0 : \cdots : x_3] \in \mathbf{P}^3 \mid x_i + \alpha x_j = 0,\ x_k = 0 \text{ for } k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i, j\}\big\}$$

for $0 \le i < j \le 3$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\alpha^3 = 1$. We remark that D_k is an elliptic curve, and the irreducible decomposition of the ramification divisor is

$$R = \sum_{k=0}^{3} D_k + \sum_{0 \le i < j \le 3} (E^1_{i,j} + E^\omega_{i,j} + E^{\omega^2}_{i,j}).$$

Remark 6.4. For a line L on the Fermat cubic surface X and an Eckardt point e on X, the intersection numbers on Y are computed by

$$\begin{aligned} (D_k.D_l) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq l, \\ -3 & \text{if } k = l, \end{cases} \\ (D_k.L^+) &= (D_k.L^-) = 0, \\ (D_k.\phi^{-1}(e)) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e \notin B_k, \\ 1 & \text{if } e \in B_k. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.5. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\chi : \mathrm{NS}\,(S)^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)}{\phi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X, \mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z} L^+}$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} \chi(\tau) = \pi(\phi^* L) & \textit{for a line } L \textit{ on } X, \\ \chi(\mathcal{E}^\alpha_{i,j}) = \pi(E^\alpha_{i,j}) & \textit{for } 0 \leq i \leq j \leq 3 \textit{ and } \alpha^3 = 1, \\ \chi(\mathcal{E}^1_{k,4} + \mathcal{E}^\omega_{k,4} + \mathcal{E}^{\omega^2}_{k,4}) = \pi(D_k) & \textit{for } 0 \leq k \leq 3, \end{cases}$$

where π *denotes the natural surjective homomorphism*

$$\pi : \mathrm{NS}\,(Y) \longrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)}{\phi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X, \mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z} L^+}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.4, Remark 5.6 and Proposition 5.7, we have the isomorphism of Hodge structures

$$H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \simeq \frac{H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})}{\phi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X, \mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z} L^+},$$

and this induces the isomorphism

$$\chi : \mathrm{NS}\,(S)^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)}{\phi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X, \mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z} L^+}.$$

Since $3\tau = [\mathcal{O}_S(1)] = \rho^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(1)]$ by [3, §10], and $\pi(\psi^*[\mathcal{O}_Z(1)]) = \pi(3\phi^* L)$ by Proposition 3.9, we have $\chi(3\tau) = \pi(3\phi^* L)$. Since $\frac{\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)}{\phi^* H^2_{\mathrm{prim}}(X,\mathbf{Z}) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z} L^+}$ is torsion free, we have $\chi(\tau) = \pi(\phi^* L)$. The triple cover $\rho : S \to Z$ induces a triple cover $\mathcal{E}^\alpha_{i,j} \to \psi(E^\alpha_{i,j})$ for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq 3$, and an isomorphism $\mathcal{E}^\alpha_{k,4} \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi(D_k)$ for $0 \leq k \leq 3$. These imply that $\chi(\mathcal{E}^\alpha_{i,j}) = \pi(E^\alpha_{i,j})$ and $\chi(\mathcal{E}^1_{k,4} + \mathcal{E}^\omega_{k,4} + \mathcal{E}^{\omega^2}_{k,4}) = \pi(D_k)$. $\square$

Theorem 6.6. *For the Fermat cubic surface X, the Néron-Severi lattice* $\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)$ *is of rank* 44 *with the determinant* -3^{12}, *and*

$$\mathrm{NS}\,(Y) = \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} (\mathbf{Z}L^+ + \mathbf{Z}L^-) + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq 3} (\mathbf{Z}E^1_{i,j} + \mathbf{Z}E^\omega_{i,j} + \mathbf{Z}E^{\omega^2}_{i,j}) + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \mathbf{Z}D_k.$$

Proof. By Theorem 6.3, we have

$$\mathrm{NS}\,(S)^{\mathrm{Gal}\,(\rho)} = \mathbf{Z}\tau + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq 3} (\mathbf{Z}\mathcal{E}^1_{i,j} + \mathbf{Z}\mathcal{E}^\omega_{i,j} + \mathbf{Z}\mathcal{E}^{\omega^2}_{i,j}) + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{E}^1_{k,4} + \mathcal{E}^\omega_{k,4} + \mathcal{E}^{\omega^2}_{k,4}).$$

By Lemma 6.5, we have

$$\mathrm{NS}\,(Y) = \phi^*\,\mathrm{NS}\,(X) + \sum_{L \in Z_\infty} \mathbf{Z}L^+ + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq 3} (\mathbf{Z}E^1_{i,j} + \mathbf{Z}E^\omega_{i,j} + \mathbf{Z}E^{\omega^2}_{i,j}) + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \mathbf{Z}D_k,$$

and by Remark 3.6 and Remark 6.4, we can directly compute the determinant of the lattice. □

Remark 6.7. The sublattice

$$\sum_{L \in Z_\infty} (\mathbf{Z}L^+ + \mathbf{Z}L^-) + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq 3} (\mathbf{Z}E^1_{i,j} + \mathbf{Z}E^\omega_{i,j} + \mathbf{Z}E^{\omega^2}_{i,j})$$

is of rank 44 with the determinant $-2^2 \cdot 3^{12}$, hence it is a sublattice of index 2 in $\mathrm{NS}\,(Y)$.

7 Infinitesimal variations of Hodge structure

In this section, we compute the infinitesimal variations of Hodge structure for the surface $Y \subset \Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)$, and we prove Proposition 6.2. The method is introduced in [9] as a theory of Jacobian rings. Let $Y = Y_3 \subset Y_2 \subset Y_1 \subset \Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)$ be the varieties defined in Section 2. Let

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{Y}_3 \arrow[r, phantom, "\subset"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{M} \times \Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3) \arrow[dl] \\ \mathcal{M} & \end{tikzcd}$$

be the family of the surface Y_3. Let

$$\kappa : T_{\mathcal{M}}([F]) \longrightarrow H^1(Y_3, T_{Y_3}),$$

be the Kodaira-Spencer map. By the duality, Proposition 6.2 is a corollary of the following proposition.

Proposition 7.1. *The homomorphism*

$$T_{\mathcal{M}}([F]) \otimes H^0(Y_3, \Omega^2_{Y_3}) \longrightarrow H^1(Y_3, \Omega^1_{Y_3});\ \xi \otimes \omega \longmapsto c(\kappa(\xi) \cup \omega)$$

is of rank 16*, where c is the contraction homomorphism*

$$c : H^1(Y_3, T_{Y_3} \otimes \Omega^2_{Y_3}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(Y_3, \Omega^1_{Y_3}).$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P}^3}$ be the kernel of the homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3} \otimes V \to \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1)$, where $V = H^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1))$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}$ be the kernel of the homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)} \otimes V \to \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}$. Then we have the natural exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Psi^* \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \Phi^* \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P}^3} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Psi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)} \xrightarrow{\tau} \Phi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3} \longrightarrow 0$$

of vector bundles on $\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)$, and we have the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Phi^* \mathcal{S}^\vee_{\mathbf{P}^3} \otimes \Psi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)} &\xrightarrow{\tau \times \sigma^\vee} \\ \Phi^*(\mathcal{S}^\vee_{\mathbf{P}^3} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}) \oplus \Psi^*(\mathcal{S}^\vee_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}) &\xrightarrow{\sigma^\vee \oplus (-\tau)} \Psi^* \mathcal{S}^\vee_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)} \otimes \Phi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the homomorphism

$$T_{\mathbf{P}^3 \times \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)} \simeq \Phi^*(\mathcal{S}^\vee_{\mathbf{P}^3} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}) \oplus \Psi^*(\mathcal{S}^\vee_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}) \xrightarrow{\sigma^\vee \oplus (-\tau)} \Psi^* \mathcal{S}^\vee_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)} \otimes \Phi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}$$

is identified with the natural homomorphism to the normal bundle $T_{\mathbf{P}^3 \times \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)} \to \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)/\mathbf{P}^3 \times \Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Phi^* \mathcal{S}^\vee_{\mathbf{P}^3} \otimes \Psi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)} \longrightarrow T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{7.1}$$

Let $(x_0, \ldots, x_3)$ be a basis of the vector space $V = H^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1))$, and let $(x_0^\vee, \ldots, x_3^\vee)$ be the dual basis of $(x_0, \ldots, x_3)$.

Lemma 7.2.

$$H^0(Y_2, T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{Y_2}) \simeq \frac{V^\vee \otimes V}{\mathbf{C} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^3 x_i^\vee \otimes x_i}$$

Proof. The natural homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)} \otimes V^\vee \otimes V \to \Phi^* \mathcal{S}^\vee_{\mathbf{P}^3} \otimes \Psi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}$ induces the isomorphism $V^\vee \otimes V \simeq H^0(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3), \Phi^* \mathcal{S}^\vee_{\mathbf{P}^3} \otimes \Psi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)})$. By the exact sequence (7.1), we have

$$H^0(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3), T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}) \simeq \frac{V^\vee \otimes V}{\mathbf{C} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^3 x_i^\vee \otimes x_i},$$

and we can prove that $H^0(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3), T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}) \simeq H^0(Y_2, T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{Y_2})$ by the restriction. □

We define filtration on $\Psi^* \operatorname{Sym}^3 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}$ by

$$\operatorname{Fil}^i = \operatorname{Fil}^i \Psi^* \operatorname{Sym}^3 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)} = \mathcal{S}^{\otimes i} \otimes \Psi^* \operatorname{Sym}^{3-i} \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)} \subset \Psi^* \operatorname{Sym}^3 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)},$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the line bundle defined as the kernel of the homomorphism $\Psi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)} \xrightarrow{\tau} \Phi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}$. For $G \in \operatorname{Sym}^3 V$, we denote by $[G]_i$ the image of G by the natural homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Sym}^3 V \longrightarrow H^0(\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3), \frac{\operatorname{Fil}^0}{\operatorname{Fil}^i}),$$

and denote by $[G]_{i,Y_j}$ its restriction to $H^0(Y_j, \frac{\operatorname{Fil}^0}{\operatorname{Fil}^i}|_{Y_j})$. We remark that Y_j is the zeros of the regular section $[F]_j$, and if $i \geq j$ then $[F]_{i,Y_j}$ is contained in $H^0(Y_j, \frac{\operatorname{Fil}^j}{\operatorname{Fil}^i}|_{Y_j})$. We define the sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_2}$-modules $\mathcal{N}$ as the cokernel of the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y_2} \xrightarrow{[F]_{3,Y_2}} \frac{\operatorname{Fil}^0}{\operatorname{Fil}^3}|_{Y_2}.$$

We remark that $T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{Y_2}$ is a quotient bundle of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_2} \otimes V^\vee \otimes V$, and $\mathcal{N}$ is a quotient $\mathcal{O}_{Y_2}$-module of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_2} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^3 V$. And we can check that the homomorphism

$$\nu : V^\vee \otimes V \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^3 V;\ x_i^\vee \otimes A \longmapsto A \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}$$

induces the homomorphism

$$T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{Y_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}.$$

Lemma 7.3. *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{Y_2}(-\log Y_3) \longrightarrow T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{Y_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. By the definition of $\mathcal{N}$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Y_3/Y_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Y_2/\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where we remark that $\mathcal{N}_{Y_2/\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)} \simeq \frac{\operatorname{Fil}^0}{\operatorname{Fil}^2}|_{Y_2}$, and $\mathcal{N}_{Y_3/Y_2}$ is the cokernel of the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y_2} \xrightarrow{[F]_{3,Y_2}} \frac{\operatorname{Fil}^2}{\operatorname{Fil}^3}|_{Y_2}.$$

Since the kernel of the composition $T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{Y_2} \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}_{Y_2/\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}$ is identified with T_{Y_2}, we have the homomorphism $T_{Y_2} \to \mathcal{N}_{Y_3/Y_2}$ and its kernel is identified with $T_{Y_2}(-\log Y_3)$. ☐

Lemma 7.4.

$$H^0(Y_2, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \frac{V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V}{\mathbf{C} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^3 x_i \otimes \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}},$$

Proof. By the homomorphism

$$\Psi^* \operatorname{Sym}^3 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)} \longrightarrow \Phi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^2} \otimes \Psi^* \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)};\ abc \longmapsto \tau(a)\otimes bc + \tau(b)\otimes ca + \tau(c)\otimes ab,$$

we have the isomorphism

$$\frac{\mathrm{Fil}^0}{\mathrm{Fil}^3} \simeq \Phi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^2} \otimes \Psi^* \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}.$$

The natural homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)} \otimes V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V \to \Phi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^2} \otimes \Psi^* \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}$ induces the isomorphism

$$V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V \simeq H^0(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3), \Phi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^2} \otimes \Psi^* \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}) \simeq H^0(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3), \frac{\mathrm{Fil}^0}{\mathrm{Fil}^3}),$$

and we can prove that

$$H^0(\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3), \frac{\mathrm{Fil}^0}{\mathrm{Fil}^3}) \simeq H^0(Y_2, \frac{\mathrm{Fil}^0}{\mathrm{Fil}^3}\Big|_{Y_2}).$$

By the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_2} \xrightarrow{[F]_{3,Y_2}} \frac{\mathrm{Fil}^0}{\mathrm{Fil}^3}\Big|_{Y_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have

$$H^0(Y_2, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \frac{V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V}{\mathbf{C} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^3 x_i \otimes \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}}.$$

□

Lemma 7.5. *The kernel of the homomorphism*

$$H^1(Y_2, T_{Y_2}(-\log Y_3)) \longrightarrow H^1(Y_2, T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{Y_2})$$

is identified with the cokernel of the injective homomorphism

$$\delta \circ \nu : V^\vee \otimes V \longrightarrow V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V;\ x_j^\vee \otimes A \longmapsto A \otimes \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i=0}^3 x_i \otimes A \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

Proof. By the exact sequence in Lemma 7.3, we have the exact sequence

$$H^0(Y_2, T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{Y_2}) \longrightarrow H^0(Y_2, \mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow H^1(Y_2, T_{Y_2}(-\log Y_3)) \longrightarrow H^1(Y_2, T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{Y_2}).$$

By Lemma 7.2 and Lemma 7.4, we can check that $H^0(Y_2, T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{Y_2}) \to H^0(Y_2, \mathcal{N})$ is induced by the homomorphism

$$\frac{V^\vee \otimes V}{\mathbf{C} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^3 x_i^\vee \otimes x_i} \longrightarrow \frac{V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V}{\mathbf{C} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^3 x_i \otimes \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}};\ x_j^\vee \otimes A \longmapsto A \otimes \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i=0}^3 x_i \otimes A \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

We remark that the homomorphism $\delta \circ \nu$ is the composition of injective homomorphisms $\nu : V^\vee \otimes V \to \operatorname{Sym}^3 V$ and

$$\delta : \operatorname{Sym}^3 V \longrightarrow V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V;\ G \longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^{3} x_i \otimes \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}.$$

□

Remark 7.6. Since $H^1(Y_2, T_{Y_2}(-Y_3)) = 0$, the homomorphism

$$H^1(Y_2, T_{Y_2}(-\log Y_3)) \longrightarrow H^1(Y_3, T_{Y_3})$$

is injective.

Lemma 7.7. *The Kodaira-Spencer map $\kappa : T_{\mathcal{M}}([F]) \to H^1(Y_3, T_{Y_3})$ is computed by the homomorphism*

$$\kappa : T_{\mathcal{M}}([F]) \simeq \frac{\operatorname{Sym}^3 V}{\mathbf{C} \cdot F} \longrightarrow \frac{V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V}{(\delta \circ \nu)(V^\vee \otimes V)} \subset H^1(Y_3, T_{Y_3});\ G \longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^{3} x_i \otimes \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i},$$

and its image $\kappa(T_{\mathcal{M}}([F]))$ is identified with the cokernel of the injective homomorphism $\nu : V^\vee \otimes V \to \operatorname{Sym}^3 V$.

Proof. Let $(F, G_1, \ldots, G_{19})$ be a basis of $\operatorname{Sym}^3 V \simeq H^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(3))$. We have a local coordinate of $\mathcal{M}$ at $[F] \in \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(3))^\vee)$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}^{19} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(3))^\vee); \\ (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{19}) & \longmapsto & F - \sum_{i=1}^{19} \mu_i G_i, \end{array}$$

and the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}$ at $[F]$ is identified with $\frac{\operatorname{Sym}^3 V}{\mathbf{C} \cdot F}$ by

$$T_{\mathcal{M}}([F]) \simeq \frac{\operatorname{Sym}^3 V}{\mathbf{C} \cdot F};\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_j} \longmapsto G_j.$$

We have the commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & T_{Y_3} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & T_{\mathcal{Y}_3}|_{Y_3} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}_{Y_3} \otimes T_{\mathcal{M}}([F]) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\kappa}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & T_{Y_3} \arrow[r] & T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{Y_3} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{N}_{Y_3/\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)} \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $T_{\mathcal{Y}_3}|_{Y_3} \to T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}|_{Y_3}$ is induced by the natural projection and $\tilde{\kappa}$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\kappa} : T_{\mathcal{M}}([F]) \longrightarrow H^0(Y_3, \frac{\operatorname{Fil}^0}{\operatorname{Fil}^3}|_{Y_3}) \simeq H^0(Y_3, \mathcal{N}_{Y_3/\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)});\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_j} \longmapsto [G_j]_{3,Y_3}.$$

We can compute the homomorphism $\tilde{\kappa}$ by

$$\begin{array}{cccc}\tilde{\kappa}: & T_{\mathcal{M}}([F]) & \longrightarrow & \frac{V\otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V}{\mathbf{C}\cdot\sum_{i=0}^3 x_i\otimes\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}} \simeq H^0(Y_2,\mathcal{N})\subset H^0(Y_3,\mathcal{N}_{Y_3/\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}); \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_j} & \longmapsto & \sum_{i=0}^3 x_i\otimes\frac{\partial G_j}{\partial x_i}, \end{array}$$

and $\tilde{\kappa}$ induces the homomorphism

$$\kappa : T_{\mathcal{M}}([F]) \simeq \frac{\operatorname{Sym}^3 V}{\mathbf{C}\cdot F} \longrightarrow \frac{V\otimes\operatorname{Sym}^2 V}{(\delta\circ\nu)(V^\vee\otimes V)} \subset H^1(Y_2, T_{Y_2}(-\log Y_3)) \subset H^1(Y_3, T_{Y_3}).$$

□

Lemma 7.8. *$H^0(Y_2, (\Phi^*\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}\otimes T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)})|_{Y_2})$ is naturally identified with the cokernel of the injective homomorphism*

$$\alpha : V\oplus V \longrightarrow V\otimes V^\vee\otimes V;\ A\oplus B\longmapsto\sum_{i=0}^3(x_i\otimes x_i^\vee\otimes A + B\otimes x_i^\vee\otimes x_i)$$

Proof. By the exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow \Psi^*\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}\longrightarrow\Phi^*\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}\otimes V^\vee\otimes\Psi^*\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}\longrightarrow\Phi^*(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}\otimes\mathcal{S}^\vee_{\mathbf{P}^3})\otimes\Psi^*\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}\longrightarrow 0,$$

$H^0(Y_2, (\Phi^*(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}\otimes\mathcal{S}^\vee_{\mathbf{P}^3})\otimes\Psi^*\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)})|_{Y_2})$ is identified with the cokernel of the injective homomorphism

$$\lambda_0 : V\longrightarrow V\otimes V^\vee\otimes V;\ A\longmapsto\sum_{i=0}^3 x_i\otimes x_i^\vee\otimes A.$$

By the exact sequence (7.1), we have the exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\Phi^*\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}\xrightarrow{\lambda}\Phi^*(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}\otimes\mathcal{S}^\vee_{\mathbf{P}^3})\otimes\Psi^*\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)}\longrightarrow\Phi^*\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}\otimes T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)}\longrightarrow 0,$$

and $H^0(Y_2, (\Phi^*\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}\otimes T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)})|_{Y_2})$ is identified with the cokernel of the injective homomorphism

$$V\longrightarrow\frac{V\otimes V^\vee\otimes V}{\lambda_0(V)};\ B\longmapsto\sum_{i=0}^3 B\otimes x_i^\vee\otimes x_i.$$

□

Lemma 7.9. *$H^0(Y_2, (\Phi^*\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3})|_{Y_2}\otimes\mathcal{N})$ is naturally identified with the cokernel of the injective homomorphism*

$$\beta : V\oplus V\longrightarrow\operatorname{Sym}^2 V\otimes\operatorname{Sym}^2 V;\ A\oplus B\longmapsto\sum_{i=0}^3\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}\otimes Ax_i + Bx_i\otimes\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}\right)$$

Proof. By the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \varPsi^* \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)})|_{Y_1} \overset{[F]_{2,Y_1}}{\longrightarrow} (\varPhi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \varPsi^* \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)})|_{Y_1} \\ \longrightarrow (\varPhi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \varPsi^* \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)})|_{Y_2} \to 0,\end{aligned}$$

$H^0(Y_2, (\varPhi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3} \otimes \frac{\mathrm{Fil}^0}{\mathrm{Fil}^3})|_{Y_2}) \simeq H^0(Y_2, (\varPhi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \varPsi^* \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda(\mathbf{P}^3)})|_{Y_2})$ is identified with the cokernel of the injective homomorphism

$$[F]_2 : V \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^2 V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V;\ A \longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^{3} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \otimes A x_i.$$

By the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\varPhi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3})|_{Y_2} \overset{[F]_{3,Y_2}}{\longrightarrow} \big(\varPhi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3} \otimes \frac{\mathrm{Fil}^0}{\mathrm{Fil}^3}\big)\big|_{Y_2} \longrightarrow (\varPhi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3})|_{Y_2} \otimes \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow 0,$$

$H^0(Y_2, (\varPhi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3})|_{Y_2} \otimes \mathcal{N})$ is identified with the cokernel of the injective homomorphism

$$V \longrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{Sym}^2 V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V}{[F]_2(V)};\ B \longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^{3} B x_i \otimes \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}.$$

□

Lemma 7.10. *$H^1(Y_2, \Omega^2_{Y_2}(\log Y_3))$ is naturally identified with the cokernel of the injective homomorphism*

$$\nu_1 : V \otimes V^\vee \otimes V \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^2 V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V;\ A \otimes x_j^\vee \otimes B \longmapsto AB \otimes \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i=0}^{3} A x_i \otimes B \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

Proof. Since

$$\Omega^2_{Y_2}(\log Y_3) \simeq \Omega^3_{Y_2}(Y_3) \otimes T_{Y_2}(-\log Y_3) \simeq (\varPhi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3})|_{Y_2} \otimes T_{Y_2}(-\log Y_3),$$

we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^2_{Y_2}(\log Y_3) \longrightarrow (\varPhi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3} \otimes T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)})|_{Y_2} \longrightarrow (\varPhi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3})|_{Y_2} \otimes \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow 0$$

by Lemma 7.3, and we can check that $H^1(Y_2, (\varPhi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3} \otimes T_{\Gamma(\mathbf{P}^3)})|_{Y_2}) = 0$. By Lemma 7.8 and Lemma 7.9, $H^1(Y_2, \Omega^2_{Y_2}(\log Y_3))$ is identified with the cokernel of the homomorphism

$$\frac{V \otimes V^\vee \otimes V}{\alpha(V \oplus V)} \longrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{Sym}^2 V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V}{\beta(V \oplus V)};\ A \otimes x_j^\vee \otimes B \longmapsto AB \otimes \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i=0}^{3} A x_i \otimes B \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j},$$

and it is injective because $H^0(Y_2, \Omega^2_{Y_2}(\log Y_3)) = 0$. Since the homomorphism ν_1 induces an isomorphism $\alpha(V \oplus V) \simeq \beta(V \oplus V)$, the homomorphism ν_1 is injective. □

Proof of Proposition 7.1. By Lemma 7.7 and Lemma 7.10, we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] \\
V \otimes V^\vee \otimes V \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "1 \otimes \nu"'] & V \otimes V^\vee \otimes V \arrow[d, "\nu_1"] \\
V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^3 V \arrow[r, "\delta_1"] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Sym}^2 V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V \arrow[d] \\
H^0(Y_3, \Omega^2_{Y_3}) \otimes \kappa(T_{\mathcal{M}}([F])) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^1(Y_3, \Omega^1_{Y_3}) \\
0, &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where we remark that

$$V \simeq H^0(Y_2, (\Phi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}^3})|_{Y_2}) \simeq H^0(Y_2, \Omega^3_{Y_2}(Y_3)) \simeq H^0(Y_3, \Omega^2_{Y_3}),$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Sym}^2 V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V}{\nu_1(V \otimes V^\vee \otimes V)} \simeq H^1(Y_2, \Omega^2_{Y_2}(\log Y_3)) \subset H^1(Y_3, \Omega^1_{Y_3})$$

and the homomorphism δ_1 is defined by

$$\delta_1 : V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^3 V \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^2 V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2 V;\ A \otimes B \longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^{3} Ax_i \otimes \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_i}.$$

Since δ_1 is injective, the homomorphism $H^0(Y_3, \Omega^2_{Y_3}) \otimes \kappa(T_{\mathcal{M}}([F])) \to H^1(Y_3, \Omega^1_{Y_3})$ is also injective, hence the dimension of its image is equal to 16. $\square$

References

[1] D. Allcock, J. Carlson and D. Toledo, *The complex hyperbolic geometry of the moduli space of cubic surfaces,* J. Algebraic Geom. **11** (2002), 659–724.

[2] J. Carlson, M. Green, P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Infinitesimal variations of Hodge structure (I),* Compos. Math. **50** (1983), 109–205.

[3] H. Clemens and P. Griffiths, *The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold,* Ann. of Math. (2) **95** (1972), 281–356.

[4] A. Collino, *The fundamental group of the Fano surface,* Algebraic threefolds. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **947** (1982), 209–218.

[5] W. Fulton, Intersection theory, second edition, Springer, 1998.

[6] P. Griffiths, *On the periods of certain rational integrals.* I *and* II, Ann. of Math. (2) **90** (1969), 460–495, 496–541.

[7] E. Horikawa, *Algebraic surface of general type with small* c_1^2*.* III, Invent. Math. **47** (1978), 209–248.

[8] A. Ikeda, *The varieties of tangent lines to hypersurfaces in projective spaces,* arXiv:1012.2186.

[9] A. Ikeda, *The varieties of intersections of lines and hypersurfaces in projective spaces,* "Higher dimensional algebraic varieties and vector bundles," RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **B9** (2008), 115–125.

[10] X. Roulleau, *Elliptic curve configurations on Fano surfaces,* arXiv:0804.1861 [math.AG] (2008).

[11] B. Segre, The Non-singular Cubic Surfaces, Calarendon Press, Oxford, 1942.

[12] A. Tjurin, *The geometry of the Fano surface of a nonsingular cubic* $F \subset P^4$ *and Torelli theorems for Fano surface and cubics,* Math. USSR Izv. **5** (1971), 517–546.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
TOYONAKA, OSAKA, 560-0043
JAPAN
E-mail address: `atsushi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp`